

References

- Aamodt, M. G., & Kimbrough, W. W. (1985). Comparison of four methods for weighting multiple predictors. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 45*, 477–482.
- Ackerman, P. J., & Humphreys, L. G. (1990). Individual differences theory in industrial and organizational psychology. In M. D. Dunnette & L. M. Hough (Eds.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 1). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Aguinis, H. (1995). Statistical power problems with moderated multiple regression in management research. *Journal of Management, 21*, 1141–1158.
- Aguinis, H., Petersen, S. A., & Pierce, C. A. (1999). Appraisal of the homogeneity of error variance assumption and alternatives to multiple regression for estimating moderating effects of categorical variables. *Organizational Research Methods, 2*, 315–339.
- Aguinis, H., & Pierce, C. A. (1998). Testing moderator variable hypotheses meta-analytically. *Journal of Management, 24*, 577–592.
- Aguinis, H., & Stone-Romero, E. F. (1997). Methodological artifacts in moderated multiple regression and their effects on statistical power. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 82*, 192–206.
- Alexander, R. A., & DeShon, R. P. (1994). The effect of error variance heterogeneity on the power of tests for regression slope differences. *Psychological Bulletin, 115*, 308–314.
- American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, and National Council for Measurement in Education (1999). *Standards for educational and psychological testing*. Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.
- American Psychological Association (2003). Guidelines on multicultural education, training, research, practice, and organizational change for psychologists. *American Psychologist, 58*(5), 337–402.
- Barrett, G. V., Phillips, J. S., & Alexander, R. A. (1981). Concurrent and predictive validity designs: A critical reanalysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 66*, 1–6.
- Barrick, M. R., & Mount, M. K. (1991). The Big Five personality dimensions and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology, 44*, 1–26.
- Bartlett, C. J., Bobko, P., Mosier, S. B., & Hannan, R. (1978). Testing for fairness with a moderated multiple regression strategy: An alternative to differential analysis. *Personnel Psychology, 31*, 233–242.
- Bemis, S. E. (1968). Occupational validity of the General Aptitude Test Battery. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 52*, 240–249.
- Bobko, P., & Riecke, A. (1980). Large sample estimates for standard errors of functions of correlation coefficients. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 4*, 385–398.
- Bobko, P., & Stone-Romero, E. (1998). Meta-analysis is another useful research tool but it is not a panacea. In G. Ferris (Ed.), *Research in personnel and human resources management*, Vol. 16, pp. 359–397, Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Brogden, H. E. (1949). When testing pays off. *Personnel Psychology, 2*, 171–183.
- Callender, J. C., & Osburn, H. G. (1980). Development and testing of a new model of validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 65*, 543–558.

- Callender, J. C., & Osburn, H. G. (1981). Testing the constancy of validity with computer-generated sampling distributions of the multiplicative model variance method estimate: Results for petroleum industry validation research. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *66*, 274–281.
- Campion, M. A., Outtz, J. L., Zedeck, S., Schmidt, F. L., Kehoe, J. F., Murphy, K. R., & Guion, R. M. (2001). The controversy over score banding in personnel selection: Answers to 10 key questions. *Personnel Psychology*, *54*(1), 149–185.
- Cascio, W. F. (2000). *Costing human resources: The financial impact of behavior in organizations* (4th ed.). Cincinnati, OH: Southwestern.
- Cascio, W. F., Outtz, J., Zedeck, S., & Goldstein, I. L. (1991). Statistical implications of six methods of test score use in personnel selection. *Human Performance*, *4*, 233–264.
- Cleary, T. A. (1968). Test bias: Prediction of grades of negro and white students in integrated colleges. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, *5*, 115–124.
- Coward, W. M., & Sackett, P. R. (1990). Linearity of ability-performance relationships: A reconfirmation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *75*, 297–300.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Gleser, G. C. (1965). *Psychological tests and personnel decisions* (2nd ed.). Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- DeShon, R. P., & Alexander, R. A. (1996). Alternative procedures for testing regression slope homogeneity when group error variances are unequal. *Psychological Methods*, *1*, 261–277.
- Goldstein, I. L., Zedeck, S., & Schneider, B. (1993). An exploration of the job-analysis-content validity process. In N. Schmitt and W. Borman (Eds.), *Personnel selection in organizations*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Guion, R. M. (1998). *Assessment, measurement, and prediction for personnel decisions*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Gulliksen, H., & Wilks, S. S. (1950). Regression tests for several samples. *Psychometrika*, *15*, 91–114.
- Hartigan, J. A., & Wigdor, A. K. (Eds.) (1989). *Fairness in employment testing*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Hough, L. M., Oswald, F. L., & Ployhart, R. E. (2001). Determinants, detection, and amelioration of adverse impact in personnel selection procedures: Issues, evidence, and lessons learned. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, *9*, 1–42.
- Humphreys, L. G. (1952). Individual differences. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *3*, 131–150.
- Hunter, J. E., & Hunter, R. F. (1984). Validity and utility of alternative predictors of job performance. *Psychological Bulletin*, *96*, 72–88.
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (1996). Measurement error in psychological research: Lessons from 26 research scenarios. *Psychological Methods*, *1*, 199–223.
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (2000). Racial and gender bias in ability and achievement tests: Resolving the apparent paradox. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, *6*, 151–158.
- Hunter, J. E., Schmidt, F. L., & Judiesch, M. K. (1990). Individual differences in output variability as a function of job complexity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *75*, 28–42.
- Hunter, J. E., Schmidt, F. L., & Rauschenberger, J. (1984). Methodological and statistical issues in the study of bias in mental testing. In C. R. Reynolds & R. T. Brown (Eds.), *Perspectives on mental testing*. New York: Plenum.

- Jawahar, I. M., & Williams, C. R. (1997). Where all the children are above average: The performance appraisal purpose effect. *Personnel Psychology, 50*, 905–925.
- Jeanneret, R., & Silzer, R. (Eds.). (1998). *Individual psychological assessment*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Lautenschlager, G. J., & Mendoza, J. L. (1986). A step down hierarchical multiple regression analysis for examining hypotheses about test bias in prediction. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 10*, 133–139.
- Law, K. S., Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1994a). Nonlinearity of range corrections in meta-analysis: Test of an improved procedure. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 79*, 425–438.
- Law, K. S., Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1994b). A test of two refinements in procedures in meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 79*, 978–986.
- Mead, A. D., & Drasgow, F. (1993). Equivalence of computerized and paper-and-pencil cognitive ability tests: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin, 114*, 449–458.
- Naylor, J. C., & Shine, L. C. (1965). A table for determining the increase in mean criterion score obtained by using a selection device. *Journal of Industrial Psychology, 3*, 33–42.
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Oswald, F. L., & Johnson, J. W. (1998). On the robustness, bias, and stability of statistics from meta-analysis of correlation coefficients: Some initial Monte Carlo findings. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 83*, 164–178.
- Oswald, F., Saad, S., & Sackett, P. R. (2000). The homogeneity assumption in differential prediction analysis: Does it really matter? *Journal of Applied Psychology, 85*, 536–541.
- Pearlman, K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1980). Validity generalization results for tests used to predict job proficiency and training success in clerical occupations. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 65*, 373–406.
- Petersen, N. S., & Novick, M. R. (1976). An evaluation of some models for culture-fair selection. *Journal of Educational Measurement, 13*, 3–29.
- Peterson, N. G., Mumford, M. D., Borman, W. C., Jeanneret, P. R., and Fleishman, E. A. (Eds). (1999). *An occupational information system for the 21st century: The development of O*Net*. American Psychological Association: Washington, DC.
- Raju, N. S., Anselmi, T. V., Goodman, J. S., & Thomas, A. (1998). The effect of correlated artifacts and true validity on the accuracy of parameter estimation in validity generalization. *Personnel Psychology, 51*, 453–465.
- Raju, N. S., & Brand, P. A. (in press). Determining the significance of correlations corrected for unreliability and range restriction. *Applied Psychological Measurement*.
- Raju, N. S., Burke, M. J., & Normand, J. (1990). A new approach for utility analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 75*, 3–12.
- Raju, N. S., Burke, M. J., Normand, J., & Langlois, G. M. (1991). A new meta-analytic approach. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 76*, 432–446.
- Raju, N. S., Pappas, S., & Williams, C. P. (1989). An empirical Monte Carlo test of the accuracy of the correlation, covariance, and regression slope models for assessing validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 74*, 901–911.

- Ryan, A. M., & Sackett, P. R. (1998). Individual assessment: The research base. In R. Jeanerret & R. Silzer (Eds.), *Individual psychological assessment* (pp. 54–87). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Saad, S., and Sackett, P. R. (2002). Examining differential prediction by gender in employment-oriented personality measures. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 87*, 667–674.
- Sackett, P. R., Harris, M. M., & Orr, J. M. (1986). On seeking moderator variables in the meta-analysis of correlational data: A Monte Carlo investigation of statistical power and resistance to Type I error. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 71*, 302–310.
- Sackett, P. R., & Roth, L. (1996). Multi-stage selection strategies: a Monte Carlo investigation of effects on performance and minority hiring. *Personnel Psychology, 49*, 549–572.
- Sackett, P. R., Schmitt, N., Ellingson, J. E., and Kabin, M. B. (2001). High stakes testing in employment, credentialing, and higher education: Prospects in a post-affirmative action world. *American Psychologist, 56*, 302–318.
- Schippmann, J. S., Ash, R. A., Battista, M., Carr, L., Eyde, L. D., Hesketh, B., Kehoe, J., Pearlman, K., Prien, E. P., & Sanchez, J. I. (2000). The practice of competency modeling. *Personnel Psychology, 53*, 703–740.
- Schmidt, F. L. (1996). Statistical significance testing and cumulative knowledge in psychology: Implications for training of researchers. *Psychological Methods, 1*, 115–129.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1998). The validity and utility of selection methods in personnel psychology: Practical and theoretical implications of 85 years of research findings. *Psychological Bulletin, 124*, 262–274.
- Schmidt, F. L., Hunter, J. E., McKenzie, R. C., & Muldrow, T. W. (1979). Impact of valid selection procedures on work-force productivity. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 64*, 609–626.
- Schmidt, F. L., Hunter, J. E., & Pearlman, K. (1981). Task differences as moderators of aptitude test validity in selection: A red herring. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 66*, 166–185.
- Schmidt, F. L., Pearlman, K., & Hunter, J. E., (1980). The validity and fairness of employment and educational tests for Hispanic Americans: A review and analysis. *Personnel Psychology, 33*, 705–724.
- Schneider, B., & Konz, A. (1989). Strategic job analysis. *Human Resources Management, 28*, 51–63.
- Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Inc. (1987). *Principles for the validation and use of personnel selection procedures*. (3rd ed.). College Park, MD: Author.
- Taylor, H. C., & Russell, J. T. (1939). The relationship of validity coefficients to the practical effectiveness of tests in selection: Discussion and tables. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 23*, 565–578.