PROSPECTS

PROSPECTS - A 2-4 HOUR PROGRAM TO HELP PARTICIPANTS PLAN IN ANTICIPATION OF GROWTH IN THEIR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES AND CHANGES IN THEIR ORGANIZATIONS. PLANS BUILD UPON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCES, PRESENT STRENGTHS, AND FORECASTS ABOUT CHANGING NEEDS.

The work history of the participant is examined. Trends and experiences are rediscovered. The question "where are you going" is posed with respect to the next two to five years. Goals are set.

Then the participant shares with two others his career history and goals. A working relationship is established in the trio.

Next the participant considers his present skills, interests and goals to plan for the future. He develops a future career profile. These plans and the profile are shared in the trio to increase commitment and clarity and send many messages about the goals of this phase.

Finally, a follow-up session is planned which is to involve these individuals which would be most interested by the participant's plans.

PROSPECTS HAS BEEN THE OUTCOME OF AN EXTENSIVE RESEARCH STUDY INVOLVING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PERSONNEL, SEVERAL HUNDRED MANAGERS, TECHNICIANS AND ENGINEERS IN R & D POSITIONS PROVIDED INFORMATION ON HOW INDIVIDUALS CAN PLAN THEIR CAREERS AS WELL AS WHAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT CHARACTERISTICS OF A JOB WHICH MUST BE CONSIDERED IN PLANNING FOR ONE'S FUTURE.

PROSPECTS is self-administered and requires only marginal supervision. The size of the group can be any multiple of three since a trio is the basic working nucleus of PROSPECTS.

Inquiries are invited from agencies, business firms and consultants.

TRANSMUTATIONAL PROGRAMS CORPORATION
91 MAIN STREET, EURITON, N. Y. 10504
778/999-1190

Self-Guided TPC Programs Include:

1. PERSONALITY TYPES SURVEY (booklet) .................................. $25.00 ea.
2. MANAGERIAL (booklet) ................................................................. $19.00 ea.
3. PROFESSIONAL GUIDES (booklet) .............................................. $14.00 ea.
4. PROCESS SKILLS (booklet) ........................................................... $20.00 ea.
5. ADMINISTRATOR'S MANUAL (booklet) ......................................... $25.00 ea.
6. OPERATIONS (booklet) ................................................................. $19.00 ea.
7. EDUCATIONAL (booklet) .............................................................. $12.00 ea.
8. PROFESSIONAL (booklet) ............................................................ $6.00 ea.

EPSI (For educational counseling) .................................................. $15.00 ea.
NOTES AND NEWS
by Art MacKinney

Peter Weissenberg spent last fall semester on an exchange assignment as a Visiting Professor in Public Administration at the University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany. In addition, he spent spring semester as a Senior Fulbright-Hays Lecturer at the Technion-Israel Institute of Technology and at Haifa University, Haifa, Israel. Recently he was appointed Consulting Editor in Organizational Behavior and Management for Intext Educational Publishers, New York, to develop a series for them.

APA's Board of Professional Affairs at its March, 1974, meeting, reaffirmed the doctoral level of training as the minimal level for independent practice in both private or public service. This reaffirmation is in direct contrast to the recommendations of the Vail Conference that the "masters degree should be identified as the journeyman level . . ."

An International Conference on Psychological Stress and Adjustment in Time of War or Peace will take place in Tel Aviv, Israel, January 6-10, 1975. The conference will be open to scientific and professional workers in the behavioral and social sciences as well as others. Both Israeli and foreign professionals will be invited. The conference will focus on stress resulting from war or threat of war. If interested, write: Organizing Committee, P.O. Box 16271, Tel Aviv, Israel.

Bob Perlloff has received a gracious note of appreciation from Laura C. Toops for the tribute Dr. Perlloff wrote about her husband, Herbert Toops, published in the last issue of TIP. She says, "Thanking you for your appreciative regard for my husband . . ."

TIP has received No. 1 of a new publication Human Resources Development, published by the Human Research, Training, and Development Division, Human Resources Development Department, AT & T. A. M. Winters is the Editor. The lead article in this issue is by Division 14er, Joel Moses and co-author, Dick Ritchie.

Theodore V. Purcell has just returned from being a Visiting Professor at the Graduate School of Business, University of California, Berkeley. He also has a chapter, "Two Corporate Strategies Toward Full Minority Participation in Business," in a book just published entitled Corporate Social Policy in a Dynamic Society, Melville Publishing, Los Angeles. Dr. Purcell is Research Professor, Jesuit Center for Social Studies, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C.

TIP has received Vol. 2, No. 1 of Assessment and Development, a newsletter written for those interested in the use of assessment center methods for selection and development. It is published twice a year by Development Dimensions, Inc. If interested, write Development Dimensions, Suite 419, 250 Mt. Lebanon Blvd., Pittsburgh, Pa., 15234.

A retirement gala was held for Grey Worbois on occasion of his retirement from DuPont. Edison. In addition to co-workers, several other psychologists from outside the company were invited to participate: John Rappaport, Ross Stagner, Orlo and Marie Crissey, Bob Carlson, Fred Wickert, and C.G. Browne. Note Grey Worbois's article elsewhere in this issue of TIP.

Two Division 14ers, John Campbell and Bob Perlloff, have been appointed to a special APA ad hoc committee to consider relocation of the APA Central Office. The appointments were made by APA President Albert Bandura with the authorization of the Board of Directors. The committee's formal designation is "ad hoc Site Selection and Relocation Planning Committee". If you have comments or suggestions, forward them to one of the members listed.

H. J. Hoag, of Allstate Insurance, has announced that company's search for a Personnel Research Manager. Full details of this important search are included in the classified section of TIP. In case you miss it, write to Mr. Hoag at the Judson Branch Research Center, 321 Middlefield Rd., Menlo Park, California, 94025.

Marshall Sashkin has asked TIP to announce that his symposium to be held on Monday afternoon, September 2, will differ from the usual formats used. The purpose of session will be, as stated in the title, "Making Contact." Through small group discussions, specific research problems will be defined (hopefully) to the generation of collaborative research efforts on knowledge diffusion and use. This certainly is a new twist and TIP wishes Marshall the best of luck.

The editors of TIP would like to draw particular attention to the report of the 1973 income survey by Ann Durand and Wayne Sorensen in this issue. Their tremendous efforts in compiling and summarizing the data they received (a 55% response rate from Division 14 members) deserves a sincere "Thank You."

TIP is presently considering adding some new departments, namely, a book review section and reviving the Validity Information Exchange. What do you think? Please let us know.

WORKSHOPS SPACE AVAILABLE

Virginia Schelin, Chairperson of this year's Workshops Committee, reports that there are still a few slots open for the Division 14 Workshops to be held in New Orleans the day before the annual convention. If you are interested and available on August 29, write or call immediately to Dr. James Thurber, 337 Forest Hills Dr., Elmira, N.Y., 14905. The Early Registration form was printed in the last (April) issue of TIP.

Section I: Science and Politics of Selection
Section II: Practical Approaches to Job Analysis
Section III: Organizational Diagnosis and Development
Section IV: Human Resource Accounting: Methods and Meaning
Section V: Self-planning for Career Updating and Change
Section VI: Strategy and Politics of Personnel Research

Time is very short! If you desire one of these remaining openings, you must respond immediately.

PROGRAM ANNOUNCED

This issue of TIP features the program for the annual meetings to be held in New Orleans later this month. The program, including the usual myriad of paper sessions, symposia, social hour, addresses, conversation hours, and the like, is printed in a special removable center-fold for you use during the convention itself. The Division 14 program covers the full five-day convention period, August 30 — September 3. See pages 17-24.
A RETRACTION
Robert M. Guion
Bowling Green State University

In the preceding issue of TIP, I wrote, with reference to U.S. v. Detroit Edison (FEP Cases 6, 512), that the adverse effect alone seemed to have been adequate basis for judgment against the employer (Guion, 1974, p. 21). That statement is in error.

In referring to testing programs, both in findings of fact and in conclusions of law, the decision clearly acknowledges the principle of a shift in the burden of proof: if adverse impact is proven by plaintiff, then validity must be proven by defendant. The statements of this principle are clear and unequivocal.

I have re-examined the decision to see where I might have gone astray. It may have come from doubt about the Court's conclusions on validity. Or it may be because the Court did not seem to apply the same principle to employment procedures other than tests; recruiting practices yielding adverse impact, or differences in adverse impact from one department to another, were taken as evidence of discrimination without considering the question of validity. In this respect, however, the decision in this case is not remarkably different from many other decisions and need not have been singled out for comment.

Other comments about the decision stand.

Reference

Report of the Committee on Committees
by Eugene C. Mayfield

Gene Mayfield has been ably assisted during the year by committee members Warren Blumenfeld, Bob Demaree, Howard Lockwood and Rog Taylor who brought a wide geographic representation and diversity of backgrounds and interests to the committee. The first priority of the committee was to identify potential members for the standing committees for 1974-1975. A special effort was made to nominate newer members of Division 14 who have not previously taken an active role in division activities. Over 80 nominations were processed, and information on these individuals has been provided to the president-elect for consideration in establishing the membership of the standing committees for next year. Of course, it is impossible to place all qualified individuals on committees in any one year. Therefore, if anyone indicated an interest in serving and is not asked to participate this year, they are encouraged to express their interest again next year. The Committee on Committees will now turn its attention to a review of the structure and membership procedures used by the standing committees, and to the procedures used by the Committee on Committees to identify potential members for the standing committees, and will suggest any changes that would appear to lead to greater efficiency.

Message from the President
Progress Report

Edwin A. Fleishman

This is the time of year when the incumbent President does some stock taking. In the December 1973 issue of TIP I presented our goals for this year. On May 31 - June 1 our Executive Committee and committee chairmen met met in Chicago and I am pleased to report considerable progress in achieving these goals in both the professional and scientific areas. This is due, in large measure, to your hard working chairmen and their committees. More details of their reports are presented elsewhere in this issue. I can only present a few highlights here.

With respect to impact on the Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures, we should know in a few weeks if our comments and recommendations (see the April 1974 issue of TIP) are reflected in the revision. Bill Garham at the Civil Service Commission informs me that the revised draft should be out by mid-July and there will be time for additional comments before the "final" guidelines are published. Perhaps a forum on this can be arranged at APA. On a related matter, the Professional Affairs Committee has produced a document entitled "Suggested Guidelines for Choosing Consultants for Psychological Selection Validation Research and Implementation." Also, within the Professional Affairs Committee, a preliminary report reviewing state certification and licensing procedures relevant to I-O psychology is nearing completion by Bill McGehee.

I am also happy to note that the "Guidelines for Education and Training in Industrial/Organizational Psychology" was completed by the Education and Training Committee and distributed to relevant university departments. A short version for journal publication was also prepared. These activities are the culmination of a long process, through Education and Training Committees in several Division 14 administrations; I am sure the membership will be pleased that this closure is being achieved. This committee has also made progress in its review of the issues in professional and continuing education areas and is being responsive to the frequently expressed need to have more up-to-date information on what's happening to industrial psychology in graduate programs in psychology departments and business schools.

The Fellowship Committee got more nominations to process than has been the case in recent years and this is due in large measure to special efforts to circulate calls for nominations to all members. The membership should know that the documentation, screening, and evaluation of Fellowship nominations is one of the most painstaking activities of your Executive Committee, occupying several hours of discussion at the last Executive Committee meeting. Fellowship in Division 14 is a genuine honor and recognition from one's colleagues.

The continued vitality of our Division depends on some expansion of our membership to include new Ph.D.s as they enter the field as well as more established psychologists whose interests and activities indicate they should be members. Aside from providing a more secure financial base, such expansion assures a more representative organization. The Membership Committee has processed a large number of applicants and has proposed recommendations to streamline our

(Continued)
procedures and requirements. The increasing number of PhDs in non-psychotherapy departments, whose interests overlap with ours, also poses some membership questions needing examination.

Our relations with the community has been a continuing concern and it is good to announce that the Public Relations Committee has completed the Speakers Directory (described elsewhere) of I-O Psychologists and copies will be available at APA. This committee has been very active in other areas concerned with educating students and the public about our field.

It has seemed to me that the particular training and research skills of the industrial psychologist are especially relevant to pervasive social problems (see my Editorial, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, February 1971). Our Committee on Public Policy and Social Issues has been developing a data base on our membership with regard to their interests and involvement in various areas of social concern and is working on some recommendations for how our members can make more impact on the solution of such problems. Hopefully, a report will be forthcoming at APA.

This year we will have Cottoll and Dissertation Award winners. Our Scientific Affairs Committee labored under an unprecedented load of manuscript submissions. They have recommended some procedural changes which will make this a more manageable task.

Our APA program and workshop program plans were completed and promise to be exceptional this year. I feel these committees have been particularly responsive to feedback received after last year's APA. With regard to continued efforts to improve communication among members through *TIP*, it is clear enough from this and recent issues that our TIP Editors are doing an outstanding job. I hope you will let them know what you think.

With everything else they are doing, the Professional Affairs Committee managed to complete and analyze a Division 14 income survey which is published in brief in the present issue of *TIP*.

I am pleased with the increased involvement and participation of many of our newer members in Division affairs and committee activities. I hope this trend will continue.

The resignation of Bob Perell as Division Representative from the Executive Committee to become APA Treasurer left a vacancy to be filled. The critical matter of nominating APA Board Members came up and it seemed important that Division 14 have a maximum of votes. Hence, under our by-laws, I asked for a special election by the Executive Committee and we elected Donald Grant, our President-elect, to serve as Division Representative. The interim period before the next election results are announced at APA.

Finally, there are inquiries from members, non-members, other APA committees, Boards and Central Office members, on a variety of matters and the other requirements for initiative and response that need to be attended to on a day-to-day basis. I believe we are keeping up with these. In this connection, I am fortunate in having the support of Paul Thayer as Secretary-Treasurer. This took a great deal of careful planning on my part!!!

It has been a busy and fulfilling year so far and I am looking forward to seeing you in New Orleans.

"LOVES LABOURS LOST"?
by G. M. Worbois

The EEOC and EEOCC guidelines have consumed a great deal of time of I-O psychologists. If we wanted to use copy space, scores of references could be cited. They have been discussed at length in many meetings; they have been argued extensively in many court trials, etc. In this drama of verbal crescendo an unfortunate theme has developed.

The drama has the psychologist playing one of two parts. In the employment scene, the psychologist is cast as the villain who uses his expertise to defend horrendous practices on the part of his client. In the compliance scene, the psychologist is cast as the villain who devises legal sanctions which are impossible to meet.

There can't be that many villains — among the I-O psychologists I know. Why then all the controversy? It is possible that we are trying to solve two problems at once without recognizing that each requires its own representation. One of these problems is the elimination of discrimination on irrelevant variables (e.g. race, sex) — which both "villains" claim to be their purpose. The other is the development of methods and devices which discriminate only on relevant variables (e.g. job-relatedness, objective evidence) — which both "villains" claim to be their purpose.

The guidelines try to solve both problems together. They both proscribe what is made illegal by civil rights legislation and prescribe professional standards. The prescription is a legal requirement. The prescription was made, with the assistance of I-O psychologists, as a way of preventing practices which were proscribed by law.

The first guidelines were intended to reflect commonly held professional standards. Many I-O psychologists were involved in devising a set of standards. We knew that professional standards were not being followed in the vast majority of organizations which were using methods and devices developed in psychology. We saw guidelines of a governmental agency as a way of promoting at least the most commonly accepted professional standards. We welcomed, offered assistance, made suggestions, helped write guidelines, with the hope that such standards would spread widely and become accepted as "modus operandi." That hope and need still exist, but instead of helping, the guidelines are becoming the focus of controversy. The controversy is generating more heat than light, and probably contributes little to either compliance or the advancement of professional standards.

As the earlier guidelines were applied for compliance purposes it was found that they didn't prevent some illegal practices. The guidelines were made more specific so that they would require more compliance. In making them more specific for compliance purposes, however, they are now becoming something other than professional standards. Making guidelines quite specific removes the need for judgment, and when judgment is removed there is no need for professionalization.

We might also question whether guidelines developed within compliance agencies could represent standards for an entire profession — even if they were not so specific as to make them unprofessional. These agencies necessarily have their own objectives and their own biases. Input from psychologists with other objectives and biases should help, but basically guidelines developed within compliance agencies will necessarily reflect the objectives of the compliance agency. It is doubtful if any governmental body could effectively determine professional standards.

Even if professional standards could be fully codified, this would not necessarily solve the problem of compliance. Developing the "ultimate" in
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MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE REPORT
Paul F. Wernimont, Chairman

A significant change has been made in new membership procedure. The Executive Committee has agreed to suspend the requirement for endorser's statements as part of new membership applications. Starting immediately, this procedure will be tried for a two year period, after which time it will be reviewed for effectiveness. Currently, two supporting endorsements are required for an associate application, and three are needed for full membership. Under the new procedure only a completed application blank, with supporting documentation of education and experience, will be required. Applications currently being processed will be evaluated according to the new requirements.

In an active year for the Membership Committee, instructions and application materials have been sent out to about 250 applicants so far. About 80 completed applications have been processed and approved by the Membership Committee.

It is felt that many persons who could and should be members of Division 14 are not now in the Division. Thus, an aggressive campaign is being instituted to search out and encourage all eligible persons to apply. With the changed membership requirements, we look for a marked increase in new members next year.

A review of Membership Committee procedures and assignments is currently underway. It is expected that the results will include a more even distribution of work load to the entire committee, as well as more effectiveness in bringing into Division 14, all those who are eligible for membership.

In other activity, the status of student and foreign affiliates in Division 14 is under review for possible changes.

Income of Division 14 Members
Preliminary Report
by Ann Durand & Wayne Sorensen

The survey of the income of Division 14 members, including 1973 income, has been completed and analyzed. A full report is available from Wayne Sorensen, Research Department, State Farm Insurance Companies, One State Farm Plaza, Bloomington, Illinois 61701. Summary results are reported here because of the frequent inquiries about the results caused, in part, by the fact that two years have elapsed since the last survey.

Principal Findings:
1. Median 1973 income for male Ph.D.'s responding to the survey was $26,221 compared to $23,600 in 1971.
2. Median starting salary was $15,000 in 1973 compared to $13,000 in 1971.

VOLUNTEERS NEEDED FOR VISITORS PROGRAM

The Division 14 P.R. Committee is seeking volunteers to visit colleges on behalf of the profession. See page 37 for more information on how you can help.
The script reproduced below was written by Al Friedman of the Division's Public Relations Committee and is available on tape for use by the membership. It was recorded by actors at North Central College and given trial runs in northern Illinois. For more information, see the report of the Public Relations Committee starting on page 28 of this issue of TIPP.

(RESTAURANT BACKGROUND)

BOSS: (Pompously) Smedly, have you made all the arrangements for our annual executive conference?

SMEDLY: (Meekly) Yes, sir!

BOSS: Do you have a site?

SMEDLY: Yes, sir!

BOSS: Where?

SMEDLY: (Nervously) The Biltmore.

BOSS: Why not the Exeter?

SMEDLY: Same as last year .... and the year before. They don't have room because of the big conventions. Anyway — it would be too noisy.

BOSS: (Irritatedly) Well, did you get adequate accommodations?

SMEDLY: (Meekly) Yes, sir! For thirty, just as we've done for the last three years.

BOSS: Did you arrange for lunch?

SMEDLY: Yes, sir! Steak, baked potato, chef salad, strawberry parfait.

BOSS: (Triumphantly) Change that to lamp chops, mashed potatoes, spinach, and figs for dessert.

SMEDLY: Yes, sir!

BOSS: Have you arranged for a guest speaker?

SMEDLY: Yes, sir! Doctor Winston from the university!

BOSS: (Irritatedly) How did you locate him?

SMEDLY: I found her through the speakers' directory of the division of Industrial And Organizational Psychology of the A.P.A.

BOSS: (Impatiently) But Smedly, she might want to talk about child care!

SMEDLY: (Patiently) She's going to talk on "The Impact of Management Styles on Employee Performance."

BOSS: (Irritatedly, again) What does that mean, Smedley?

SMEDLY: That unless a boss permits qualified employees to be completely responsible for results, they can't be held accountable for their performance.

BOSS: Smedly, (pompously) I don't think that's something we need to learn.

SMEDLY: Yes, sir!

(BRING BACKGROUND SOUNDS UP, THEN UNDER)

NARRATOR: Well, if your organization needs a special speaker, contact:

Dr. Olga Engelhardt
Psychology Department
North Central College
Naperville, Illinois 60540
Are you playing the "fit the job to the man" gamble game?

Personnel selection and training can be a gamble that brings losses or gains. But you can cut down the odds against those losses by developing a systematic and efficient basis for "fitting the man—or woman—to the job"—and these books show you how:

1. PREDICTION AND DEVELOPMENT OF INDUSTRIAL WORK PERFORMANCE, by Gavriel Salvendy, Purdue University, and W. Douglas Seymour, University of Birmingham. Analyzes numerous facets of industrial employment, ranging from the capacities and limitations of individual workers to a critical evaluation of personnel selection procedures.
   1975 361 pages $19.95

2. MANPOWER PLANNING AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES, by Thomas H. Patten, Michigan State University. " ... a giant of a book. A must in its scope, scope, and scholarship ... destined to be a classic. Certainly no middle or senior manager or personnel executive should neglect it."—Contemporary Psychology. 1971 737 pages $27.95

3. ASSESSING CORPORATE TALENT: A Key to Managerial Manpower Planning, by Robert B. Finkle, Standard Oil Co., and William S. Jones, William, Lynde, and Williams. Shows how a company can capitalize on the talents of people already in its employ by bridging the gap between the work-a-day manager and the industrial psychologist. 1976 246 pages $11.25

4. PROMOTABLE NOW! A Guide to Achieving Personal and Corporate Success, by Michael V. Fiore, IBM, and Paul S. Strause, Fairleigh Dickinson University. Whether you are an executive looking to stimulate corporate success by developing management potential in your staff or a manager who wishes to attain greater personal success, here is a new and dynamic approach to enhancing your style. 1972 234 pages $11.00

5. FORMATIVE YEARS IN BUSINESS: A Long-Term AT & T Study of Managerial Lives, by Douglas W. Bray, Richard J. Campbell, and Donald L. Grand, all of AT & T. Learn what significant changes take place in young managers as their lives develop in a business context and the effects that company climate, policies, and practices have on them. 1974 236 pages $12.95
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FRIDAY MORNING, AUGUST 30, 1974
9:00 - 11:50
SYMPOSIUM: ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT: FAD OR INNOVATION IN APPLIED BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE. MARDI GRAS E. SHELDON A. DAVIS, TRW, INC., REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, CHAIRMAN.
Participants:
- Alan J. Burns and F. Lawrence Greenberg, Corning Glass Works, Corning, New York. When to Get Married — When to Get Divorced, the Process and Technology of the OD Contract.
- Michael Beer, Corning Glass Works, Corning, New York. Conceptual and Strategic Considerations for Effective OD.

Discussants:
- Floyd Mann, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado.
- James Naylor, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana.

1:00 - 2:50
CO-SPONSORED SYMPOSIUM WITH DIVISION 19: MEN IN SOCIAL SYSTEMS: RESULTS OF A THREE-YEAR MULTI-ORGANIZATIONAL STUDY. MONTE LEONE HOTEL: LA NOUVELLE ORLEANS WEST. BERT T. KING, Department of the Navy, Arlington, Virginia, Chairman.
Participants:
- Saul B. Selis, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, Texas.
- Allan P. Jones, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, Texas.
- Lawrence R. James, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, Texas.
- E. K. Eric Gunderson, Department of the Navy, San Diego, California.

Discussants:
- Paul D. Nelson, Department of the Navy, Washington, D.C.

3:00 - 4:50
CO-SPONSORED SYMPOSIUM WITH DIVISION 35: THE PROFESSIONAL WOMAN. MARRIOTT HOTEL: MARDI GRAS D. JEAN S. BRAUN, Oakland University, Rochester, Michigan, Chairman.
Participants:
- Jeanne B. Herman, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois. Professional Women: Intra and Inter Role Conflict.
- Anne Harlan, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio. Sex, Productivity and Reward in Academe.
- Virginia E. O'Leary, Oakland University, Rochester, Michigan. Antecedents and Personality Correlates of Professional Careerism in Women.
- Kay Deaux, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana. Women in Management: Causal Explanations of Performance.

Discussant:
- Douglas T. Hall, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan.

5:00 - 9:50
OUTGOING EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING, AUDUBON. EDWIN A. FEENEY, American Institute for Research, Washington, D.C., Chairman.

SATURDAY MORNING, AUGUST 31, 1974
9:00 - 9:50
CO-SPONSORED SYMPOSIUM WITH DIVISION 25: APPLIED BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS IN ORGANIZATIONS. MARDI GRAS BALCONY, LMN L. KEITH MILLER, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, Chairman.
Participants:
- Kenneth N. Wexley, University of Akron, Akron, Ohio. The Impact of Telecoaching on Supervisory Consideration and Integration Skills.
- Judi Komaki, California State University, San Jose, California. Organizations from an Applied Behavior Analysis Perspective.
- Milton R. Blood, University of California, Berkeley, California. Applied Behavior Analysis from an Organizational Perspective.

9:00 - 9:50
PAPER SESSION: JOB SATISFACTION. MARDI GRAS F. WILLIAM H. MOBLEY, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, Moderator-Discussant.

- Job Satisfaction and Organizational Climate: An Exploratory Study. ROBERT A. SNYDER and BENJAMIN SCHNEIDER, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland.
- "Industrial Sabotage", "Drug Use", and Job Satisfaction. THOMAS H. MANGIONE, Survey Research Program, Boston, Massachusetts, and ROBERT P. QUINN, Survey Research Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- A Factor-Analytic Examination of the Internal Structure of a Maslow-Type Need Satisfaction Instrument. R. JACK WEBER and THOMAS A. HADD, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia.
- The Relationship Between Education and Satisfaction with Job Content: A Reconsideration. MICHAEL E. GORDON and RICHARD D. ARVEY, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee.

9:00 - 10:50
CO-SPONSORED SYMPOSIUM WITH DIVISIONS 9 and 13: HUMANIZING ORGANIZATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY IN 1974. RIVERGATE HOTEL, MEETING ROOM 5. HYMAN MEITZER, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, Chairman.
Participants:
- Bernard Bass, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York.
- MARVIN DUNNETTE, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Discussants:
- Frederic Wickert, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan.

10:00 - 11:50
SYMPOSIUM: RESEARCH-BASED POLICIES FOR IMPROVING PRODUCTIVITY AND THE QUALITY OF WORK LIFE. GALEN III. SURESH SRIKASTVA, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, Chairman.
Participants:
- Michael Brower, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts. Relations Between Work Re-design, Worker Participation, Productivity, and Quality of Work Life.
- T. G. Cummings, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio. Intervention Strategies for Improving Productivity and the Quality of Work Life.
- Paul Salipante, Jr., Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio. Integrating Some Determinants of Job Attitudes and Behavior.

Discussants:
- George F. Farris, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

SUNDAY MORNING,
SEPTEMBER 1, 1974
9:00 - 9:50
PAPER SESSION: SEX DIFFERENCES. MARDI GRAS BALCONY J.K.

CONVERSATION WITH THE ANNUAL REVIEW AUTHOR: PERSONNEL ATTITUDES, MORALE, AND MOTIVATIONS. GALERIE I.
H. Peter Dachler, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, Chairman.

- Edwin A. Locke, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland.

2:00 - 3:50
SYMPOSIUM: MAXIMIZE HUMAN RESOURCE UTILIZATION. MARDI GRAS D.C.
Herbert H. Meyer, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, Chairman.

Participants:
- Frank Friedlander, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio. Through Organization Development.
- Frederick Herzberg, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah. By Restructuring and Enriching Jobs.

4:00 - 4:50
INVITED ADDRESS: UNDERPREDICTION BY OVERFITTING: 45 YEARS OF SHRINKAGE. MARDI GRAS D.C. J.B.
Bartlett, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, Chairman.

- Robert J. Wherry, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.

5:00 - 5:50
SOCIAL HOUR: RECEPTION HONORING ROBERT J. WHERRY. MARDI GRAS BALCONY, LMN.
MONDAY AFTERNOON, SEPTEMBER 2, 1974
1:00 - 3:50
SYMPOSIUM: MAKING CONTACT — COLLABORATION FOR RESEARCH ON KNOWLEDGE DIFFUSION AND USE. GALERIE III. Marshall Sashkin, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, Chairman - Discussant.

Participants:
- James P. Flanders, Florida International University, Miami, Florida.
- Ronald G. Havelock, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- Gregory M. S. L. O'Brien, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio.

Discussants:
- Howard R. Davis, National Institutes of Mental Health, Rockville, Maryland.
- Allan E. Gusklin, Clark University, Worcester, Massachusetts.
- Dozier W. Thornton, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan.
- Gretchen G. Wilson, Detroit Industrial Mission, Detroit, Michigan.
- David G. Lingwood, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

5:00 - 5:50
CONVERSATION CONTACT HOUR. REGENT. Edwin A. Fleishman, American Institutes for Research, Washington, D.C., Chairman.
- Robert M. Guion, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, Ohio.

TUESDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER 3, 1974
8:00 - 11:50
INCOMING EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING. AUDUBON.
Donald L. Grant, American Telephone and Telegraph, New York, New York, Chairman.

9:00 - 10:50
SYMPOSIUM: MOVING FROM OUTSIDE TO INSIDE: ISSUES OF ORGANIZATIONAL ENTRY/SOCIALIZATION. MARDI GRAS BALCONY, LMN. Albert S. Gluckman, American Institutes for Research, Washington, D.C., Chairman.

Participants:
- George Graen, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois. Role-making Processes and Administration.

Discussants:
- Lyman W. Porter, University of California, Irvine, California.

11:00 - 11:50
PAPER SESSION: ORGANIZATIONAL FACTORS. MARDI GRAS BALCONY I. Mahmoud A. Wahba, Baruch College, New York, New York, Moderator - Discussant.
- Measuring Quality of Work and Organizational Effectiveness in Behavioral - Economic Terms. Barry A. Macy, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio and Philip H. Mirvis, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- Varying Reactions to the 4-Day Work Week. James G. Goode and A. K. Aagaard, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
- Negotiation Behavior as a Function of Ego-Involvement and Instructional Manipulation of Representative Status. Stuart P. Fischhoff, California State University, Los Angeles, California and Earl E. Davis, The Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin.

Participants:
- Alvin Rubin, U.S. District Court, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- James D. Hutchinson, Steptoe & Johnson, Washington, D.C.
- David Allen, Justice Department, Washington, D.C.

Discussants:
- Milton P. White, American Psychological Association, Washington, D.C.

MONDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER 2, 1974
9:00 - 11:50

Participants:
- Alvin Rubin, U.S. District Court, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- James D. Hutchinson, Steptoe & Johnson, Washington, D.C.
- David Allen, Justice Department, Washington, D.C.

Discussants:
- Milton P. White, American Psychological Association, Washington, D.C.
Tuesday afternoon, September 3, 1974

1:00 - 2:50


Participants:

- Donnie M. Courtney, University of New Haven, West Haven, Connecticut. Power Bases and Power Motivation in Decision Groups.
- David Kipnis, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The Decision to Use Coercive Power.
- Manuel London, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio. Participation and Shared Information in Interdisciplinary Team Decisions.

Dear Paul:

Our recent telephone conversation about the James McKeen Cattell Award for Research Design prompts me to try to state more explicitly my curiosity about the outcomes of that program. Have they been reported?

Outcomes need to be reported in several dimensions as is almost always the case.

1. How many and what kinds of people have submitted entries in the competition? (Division members? non-members? academics? students? people employed in industry?)
2. Who has served in judging the entries? (Academic psychologists? psychologists employed in industry? business leaders who are non-psychologists? academic personnel who are non-psychologists?)
3. What degree of agreement do the judges achieve in selecting the winners and honorably mentioned? (Interrater reliability?)
4. How many of the entries have resulted in published studies or published research products? (Among the winners? the honorably mentioned? and, a control group, the non-winners?)
5. What support beyond the prize money has been attracted to the entries by the competition? (In dollars? access to research settings?)
6. What visibility for industrial and organizational psychology has been achieved by the program?
7. What scientific stature has been added to practice in our field? (What citation experiences are published studies from award winning designs achieving as compared with the citation experience of the ‘average’ article in our field?)
8. What economic or social benefits have derived from the program? (Have any findings from studies presented as entries been useful in application? with what consequences?)

Certainly the competition has objectives we value and our very real appreciation goes to those who conceived the idea and have made it into the reality of actual competition for several years now.

Best regards,

Paul F. Ross
The Ross Company
Todd Pond
Lincoln, Massachusetts

(Continued on page 33)
PUBLIC POLICY AND SOCIAL ISSUES:
WHAT TO DO UNTIL THE GUIDELINES COME

by Frank J. Landy

The committee on public policy and social issues was initially charged with the responsibility of sensitizing our membership to issues and areas in the public policy arena which might benefit from the accumulated wisdom and good will of industrial/organizational psychologists. One of the first thoughts of the committee was that perhaps the membership did not need sensitizing; maybe our members had it all together and the executive committee was the last to find out. Consequently, before we began indiscriminately sensitizing members, we decided to find out exactly what was happening.

We constructed a questionnaire which requested the members of division 14 to tell the committee: a) the degree to which they were interested in each of 47 areas, b) the amount of time volunteered in each of those areas, and c) the portion of paid full time employment represented by each of those areas, and d) the portion of paid non-primary employment (presumably consulting) represented by each of those areas. If we needed a quick answer about the degree of sensitization, the response rate provided a hint. A total of 281 individuals, approximately 25% of the membership, returned their questionnaires. This fell somewhat short of mandate levels. As a matter of fact, at approximately the same time, I had sent out a questionnaire as part of a research project to individuals chosen randomly from the Philadelphia phone book and 29% were returned! 29% Philadelphia!

In any event, heartened by the fact that 281 I/O psychologists had bothered to take the time to answer our questions about their interest in areas such as fair employment, graduate training, health care administration, the criminal justice system, OSHA, etc., we felt compelled to carry on with an analysis of some form. Although a complete descriptive analysis of the responses will be available at the business meeting in New Orleans, it is instructive to look at some of the high points in a slightly less sterile manner.

Interest

The big winner in this response category was fair employment. Unfortunately, not all subgroups were equally blessed with the interest of our members. The big losers in the fair employment category were, first, the aged, the handicapped, the retarded, and veterans. It came as no surprise to learn that most respondents were interested in fair employment issues as they related to blacks and women. There were lots of other losers in that dimension. As a matter of fact, almost everything except fair employment issues as they affected blacks and women lost. Realizing that a table of means would simply not do, I ran a factor analysis on the interest responses. Guess what came out as the first factor? Right, fair employment. Guess what came out as the second factor? Nothing! That was good news and bad news. The good news was that I did not have to choose a rotation scheme and you were relieved of the responsibility of objecting to it. The bad news was that we certainly seem to be single-minded group.

Time Expended

Like you, I was hardly satisfied with what people said they were interested in. The real question was where do they spend their time? You guessed it! Not only did we tend to have jobs which require us to be concerned with fair employment issues as they affect blacks and women, but only do we tend to pick up consulting money for addressing fair employment issues as they affect blacks and women, but most of our volunteer time is spent dealing with fair employment issues as they affect blacks and women. (In all fairness, it should be noted that a total of 0 blacks and 11 women returned questionnaires, so they could not have affected the responses that much.)

If and when you receive a copy of the descriptive statistics, you will find that I have engaged in a bit of hyperbole. There were several members who spent more than 100 days in the past two years volunteering their time in areas such as alcoholism as it relates to industrial mental health, congestion and crowding, and transportation. As a matter of fact, there were almost no areas where we did not have a member volunteering some time.

If you are willing to assume that those who responded were generally more active in the areas of public policy and social issues than those who did not respond (or at the very least accept the possibility that the respondents were representative of those who did not respond), then the membership needs sensitizing. Fair employment issues as they affect blacks and women deserve our attention. But somehow I think that we might spare a few of our members, on a trial basis, to help with the development of training programs in prisons, or selection programs for paraprofessionals in the health care field, or any of dozens of other areas which can use our help.

Hopefully, the committee on public policy and social issues will take advantage of those who are already involved in non-popular areas to form hardcore interest and sensitizing groups. Maybe we can resurrect the "talented-tenth" strategy to awake the membership. However we accomplish the sensitization, we must somehow realize that fair employment is one manifestation of the "human condition", not the definition of it.

Professional Affairs Committee Report

Wayne W. Sorenson, Chairman

A review of the various state certification and licensing procedures has been undertaken to investigate whether certain states may have procedures deleterious to the industrial psychologist compared to the clinically orientated psychologist. Although the review is not yet complete, it would appear that a problem situation exists only in a very small number of states.

The Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinating Council (EEOC) has not yet released the revised draft of the "Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures." Preliminary, unofficial reports indicate that the guidelines are being simplified somewhat in wording, but that major substantive changes in the original draft will not be forthcoming. Further, no official acceptance of the Division 14 offer of assistance has been received from the EEOC.

The "Guidelines for Choosing Consultants for Psychological Selection Validation Research and Implementation" have been modified and will be made available to Division 14 members at the APA Convention in New Orleans.
PUBLIC RELATIONS FOR
INDUSTRIAL-ORGANIZATIONAL
PSYCHOLOGY

by Olga E. Engelhardt

It has been an active and productive year for the Public Relations Committee of Division 14. The Speakers’ Directory was published and distributed in July. A radio advertisement announcing the Directory was written and pretested over station WONC in Naperville, Illinois. A “writer’s kit” has been developed for I/O psychologists interested in writing popular articles about the field. Plans are underway for establishing a visiting scientist roster of Divisioners with expertise in I/O psychology.

A Comment on the Speakers’ Directory

Out of 1245 fellows, members and associates of Division 14, 193 are willing to address conventions, training sessions, association meetings, etc., on diverse topics. The broad areas they are willing to discuss have been classified as follows:

Organizational Psychology
Organizational Staffing: Recruitment, Selection and Placement
Manpower Planning and Development
Techniques of Organizational Development
Techniques of Management Development
Personnel Problems
Management Information Systems
Compensation
Problem Solving and Human Relations Skills
Social-Psychological Concerns

The topics I/O psychologists are willing to talk about include traditional areas such as biographical data research, predicting sales success, pay and motivation, training and development, selection and placement, job satisfaction, participative management, job analysis, performance appraisal, wage and salary administration, etc. Well represented also are contemporary problem-oriented concerns such as pre-retirement counseling, organisational climate, professional and managerial obsolescence, organisational renewal, employment of hard-core workers, federal selection guidelines, restructuring jobs for para-professionals, race relations, displaced executives, generation gap, delphi extrapolations, etc.

Organizations interested in Division 14 speakers contact individuals directly but are expected to provide the chairperson of the Public Relations Committee with information about the effectiveness of the speakers they utilize.

Speakers’ Directory Mailing List

The Committee developed a mailing list of names and addresses of national associations, large corporations and chambers of commerce for cities of over 50,000 population. Directories were mailed to all of the above groups as well as to 1245 fellows, members and associates of Division 14.

Writers’ Markets and “Writer’s Kit”

Those individuals interested in writing popular articles about I/O psychology can obtain some ideas from the PR Committee. A kit has been compiled consisting of:

1) A listing of potential markets for I/O articles culled from the 1973 Writers’ Market;
2) A reprint of Rebecca E. Greer’s article “How to Query an Editor” that appeared in the October 1973 issue of Writer’s Digest;
3) A list of hints for the I/O psychologist including the jargon and techniques of the free lance writer.

(continued on page 32)

EDUCATION AND TRAINING
COMMITTEE REPORT

Robert D. Pritchard

The activities of the Education and Training Committee have dealt with several issues.

1. Publication of the Guidelines for Education and Training in Industrial/Organizational Psychology. In order to more widely disseminate the Guidelines, two tracks were taken. The first was to send copies of the full Guidelines to department heads in psychology programs and business schools. Before doing this, however, it was felt necessary to revise the Guidelines slightly to make more clear the Division’s position on the Professional Model. It was made more emphatic that the professional should have a good background in quantitative techniques and research methodology.

These slightly revised Guidelines are being sent out by Bob Carlson.

The other attempt to more widely disseminate the Guidelines was to prepare a shortened version of the document for publication in the American Psychologist. This version was prepared by Bob Carlson and myself and is currently going through final revisions.

2. Description of graduate programs in psychology and business schools.

Mike Gordon and his subcommittee (George Thornton, Ann Hussein, and John Hinricks) have spent a great deal of time on this, and have concluded that it is not feasible to assess programs vis-a-vis the models in the Guidelines in any rigorous way. It is possible to describe these programs in more general terms such as types of courses offered, formal and informal requirements, selection ratios, placement of graduates, etc. However, this is essentially what APA does already in its published description of graduate programs. Mike has contacted APA on this, and they are willing to provide us a break out of industrial/organizational programs for separate analysis. In addition, APA is willing to add one or two items to their questionnaire that are specifically relevant for I/O. Mike is exploring this possibility.

3. Professional Education - Shelly Zedock’s subcommittee (Doug Bray, George Thornton, and Alan Bass) have made progress in several areas. They are in the process of preparing a full bibliography on professional education. This should be ready by APA in New Orleans. It will be made available through TIP.

A second major issue for this subcommittee was dealing with the preliminary recommendations from the Vail Conference on Professional Education in Psychology. Doug Bray was a member of the conference and has presented a summary of its activities in an earlier issue of TIP. Shelly’s committee has studied the preliminary recommendations of the Conference and has noted what implications they have for I/O types.

Finally, Shelly has set up a symposium at APA which he will chair, and which includes Doug Bray, Jim Naylor, Ray Katzell, and Floyd Mann. The purpose is to explore the implications of the Vail recommendations. The format is to be informal with, hopefully, extensive audience participation. Shelly will prepare a summary of the symposium.

4. Continuing Education. The focus of Alan Bass’ subcommittee (Bob Pritchard, Mike Gordon, and Bob Carlson) was to deal with continuing education of that somewhat neglected minority, the researcher. Several ideas were considered, but the committee feels that the best strategy would be to have informal discussion sessions at APA given by people in industry who are doing research or implementing innovative programs which will not be widely publicized. These sessions would be limited to 20-30 people in order to facilitate the free exchange of information. We are exploring this as a possibility for APA ‘75.

(Continued on page 32)
Report of the Committee on Public Policy and Social Issues
Frank J. Landy

The committee has been functioning as a standing committee for a period of three years. In that short period of time a number of changes have occurred. In the first year of existence, the committee seemed to exist solely for the purpose of responding to the Hussein resolution. Unfortunately, it did not adequately serve that purpose as evidenced by the fact that Ann Hussein found it necessary to propose a second set of resolutions. The second year of committee functioning might best be described as an attempt to operationally define itself, thrashing around in a jungle of potential causes and activities. This thrashing disturbed some segments of the jungle. It is a little hard to tell whether the disturbance was a function of real disagreement with charge of the committee to deal with social issues or just an annoyance with the aimless nature of the thrashing. The latter is more likely the case. Due either to the fact that some important areas were addressed in the thrashing, or due to sheer fatigue, there were no new variations on the Hussein resolution at the Montreal meetings. No one was happier about that than Ann. In the third year of existence, the committee has matured to the degree that the thrashing might now be better characterized as limited mauling. The committee has chosen to work in five areas in fulfilling its charge.

1. Re-examination of the charge of the committee. It was felt that perhaps the earlier thrashing had been a function of too general a charge. The question was whether a new charge might reduce thrashing and encourage substantive progress in the area of public policy and social issues. We found that the charge, describing the role of the committee as consisting of facilitative, liaison, and informational functions, remained appropriate. It was felt that a certain degree of latitude was necessary to enable the committee and the president to jointly determine the manner in which the charge might be used in the given year. Based on our experience this year, we find it extremely useful for the committee and the president to set mutually agreeable goals for the year. Since we had already viewed Ed Fleischman as high on consideration, we found his structure extremely beneficial and non-aversive.

2. Analysis of questionnaires. Last year, the committee distributed to the membership a questionnaire requesting information relevant to the amount of interest and time expended in the area of public policy and social issues. Roughly, 25% of the membership returned the questionnaire (some more roughly than others). A preliminary analysis of these data was distributed in Montreal at the business meeting last year. The analysis has continued this year, focusing primarily on the reports of time spent in each of the areas rather than expressed interest. Since a short report based on these analyses appears in another section of this issue of TIP, no elaboration of the findings will be presented here. It should be noted, however, that the questionnaire provided a good deal of the direction and support data for the functioning of this year's committee.

3. Technical Assistance Program. The committee has two very distinct process elements. On the one hand, it exists as a reactive body, providing the membership with information of concern in the areas of public policy and social issues. On the other hand, the committee is expected to actively sensitize the membership to appropriate issues. This sensitization can occur in many different ways. We might simply exhort our colleagues to do the things which we are unwilling or unable to do. On the other hand, we might do those things ourselves, as a committee, and then present the activity as a quasi-model for involvement in public policy and social issues areas. We chose the latter. In brief, we have decided to try to bring together the skills and interests of our membership and the needs of a public agency. The least patronizing (and best potential for an acronym) term we could come up with is a Technical Assistance Program (TAP). We have been working on the plan for involvement of members of Division 14 in the publicpolicy areas for the past year and TAP is the result. We are currently working on plans for identifying an appropriate "recipient agency," implementing the assistance program, and evaluating the results of the program. A more detailed and complete description of the program will be distributed at the New Orleans business meeting. If you cannot be there, drop me a note and I will see that you get a copy of the outline.

We feel very strongly about this activity. We think that the committee should actively engage in such assistance programs. This might involve such things as setting up training programs for guards in county or state correctional institutions, or setting up selection programs for paraprofessionals in the health care field. This type of limited mauling will upset some members who feel that they may be robbed of consulting fees. That can't be helped. It may upset other members who feel that this is an inappropriate way of sensitizing members to issues of current (possibly nonpopular) concern. That can be helped, but only through constructive feedback to the committee, and we encourage such feedback from the members.

4. Identification of a target area for the APA meetings each year. This was probably the only single responsibility which was entrusted solely to the chairman of the committee this year and I blew it. It is now clear that such an activity, if it is to result in a paper or symposium on the program, must be initiated one full year before the convention. We have suggested to the president that in his message to the troops, either at APA or in the convention issue of TIP, he identify some general areas which are designated as priority areas for the time set aside at each convention for public policy and social issues concerns. These areas would be decided upon jointly by the president and the chairman of the public policy committee. The public policy chairman would then work closely with the program chairman to ensure that a suitable presentation will be given at the convention. To this end, it has been suggested that the public policy chairman be appointed as an ex officio member of the program committee. We fervently hope that next year's chairman will not continue the demonstration of the concept of limited rationality but instead will start this activity early.

5. Liaison Function. A letter was sent to the Secretary and Newsletter Editor of each of the divisions of the APA. The letter described what our committee was doing, asked if similar committees existed in the division which received the letter, and requested that a description of our work be placed in their newsletter so that we might initiate relationships with other division committees and members with similar interests. The early responses have been very positive. The Division of Counselling Psychology has promised to distribute our description to all of their members. The description will also hopefully appear in the Division 21 Newsletter. We are in correspondence with committees performing a function similar to ours in Divisions 6, 7, and 15. We have identified areas of common concern with Division 6 and hope to meet with their representatives in New Orleans.

(Continued on page 32)
E & T (cont'd)

5. Social Issues. The original charge to Ann Hussein's subcommittee (Shelly Zedeck, and John Hinrichs) was to prepare a comprehensive bibliography on social issues which would be published in TIP.

Discussions among the members clarified the problematic nature of "comprehensive" into two dimensions: a-scope and b-depth. As initial steps, two notices have been placed in APA MONITOR and in TIP (April, 1974) requesting inputs. Also, the bibliographies sent in last year with the survey of Division 14 members were received from Frank Landy, Public Policy and Social Issues Committee chairperson. Other contacts have also been initiated, notably with Clara Mayo of Division 9 who is seeking similar information, and with AWF, Division 35, and ABP.

PPSI (cont'd)

It is interesting to note that many of the respondents requested that they be notified of the activities of other divisions in the Public Policy area. It seems as if we are filling a gap at the APA level. In any event, the response to this minimal overture on our part has been quite good and deserves continued attention.

We are confident that the committee on Public Policy and Social Issues will continue to mature. We hope that within a few years, it may assume a position similar to that of the Education and Training committee. That position can be attained more quickly with the active participation of division members. We urge those of you who are disturbed either about the thrashing or the limited mailing to contact next year's committee chairman and let your feelings be known. Express your feelings at the Division 14 open meeting or buttonhole the chairman at a party. If you cannot be at New Orleans, drop a note to the committee or to Don Grant. Do some thrashing of your own.

PUBLIC RELATIONS (cont'd)

Visiting I/O Psychologist Program

The committee is still compiling a list of volunteers to visit colleges and universities informing undergraduates about I/O psychology. The PR Committee will provide APA with a suggested list of I/O psychologists who might be considered for inclusion in the APA Visiting Scientist Program.

Radio Advertisement of Speakers' Directory

A thirty-second radio script "The Speakers' Directory" was written by Al Fredian. It was edited, cast, produced and recorded on tape by Olga Engelhardt with the cooperation of students in Communications and Speech at North Central College. The script was pre-tested over WONC, Naperville, Illinois with a potential audience of 300,000 FM users within a 30-mile radius. For the 1974-75 season the tape will be reproduced on cassettes for distribution throughout the country to those I/O psychologist volunteers willing to arrange in their locale for public service time. The 30-second radio script "The Speakers' Directory" is reproduced on p. 15 of this issue.

CATTELL (cont'd)

In 1973 there were 17 entries, 9 from academic settings, two from consulting firms, one from a governmental research laboratory, and the remainder from other types of institutions.

Ten entries have been submitted this year. Since the entries are read and judged blindly, I have no way of knowing who submitted which entries. It would also not be proper for me to request this information from Paul Thayer until the judging has been completed for this year.

The entries have always been judged by the members of the scientific-affairs committee. This committee is often heavily populated with academic psychologists although psychologists from other types of settings are frequently included.

The degree of inter-judge reliability has varied from year to year and committee to committee. In 1972, the three judges (L. W. Porter, J. Moses, and C. Hulin) who were evaluating the 7 finalists in the competition had an average inter-judge correlation (Spearman Rank Order Co-efficient) of .73 (r to z transformation). It should be noted that this amount of agreement was based on the seven proposals which were judged by the committee as being the seven of the 30 which were judged most highly after an initial screening so the variance among the proposals was much reduced over what it had been initially.

The agreement on the quality of the proposals in 1973 was strikingly less. This may have been a factor of the proposals submitted in that the committee agreed they were all less than outstanding and again, the variance may have been too small to allow for any agreement to emerge. The committee did unanimously agree that none were worthy of being declared a winner.

There is no way I can answer the fourth question with the information I have available.

The support generated by winning the competition, in addition to the prize money, is extremely difficult to evaluate. In the past, the chairmen of the scientific-affairs committee have done some work in making contacts in industry to assist the winners in gaining entry into research sites. The effectiveness of this activity is unknown.

I will delete my comments about question 6.

A list of the winning proposals, where known, is provided below. I am sure Paul could do the archival work necessary to answer question 7.


I think it would be highly presumptuous of me to attempt to answer the last question. Perhaps in 15 or 20 years the answer will be more readily available and in a much less subjective form.

Very truly yours,

Charles L. Hulin
Chairman, Scientific Affairs Committee

*Editor's note: This list is incorrect in at least one respect. A corrected list will be provided later, but there was no time to prepare it for this issue.

A.C.M.
EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCES OF ASSOCIATE MEMBERS OF DIVISION 14

Dennis M. Courtney
University of New Haven

The American Psychological Association's perennial concern with the "problem" of master's level education is somewhat akin to people's reactions to their distant and "different" relatives. There is much peering, poking, and gossip (discussion), but little else. In reviewing the activities of the myriad boards, conferences, and committees of the APPA, major topics on sub-doctoral training over the past two decades, Woods (1971) concluded that most recommendations were politely accepted, filed, and forgotten until the cycle began again. Most committees have been interested in master's training from an institutional perspective, involving surveys of department chairmen or faculty about programs, curricula, placement of graduates, and other issues. There have been very few instances in which the students and graduates were the focus in conversations about their educational and postgraduate experiences.

A survey of the Associate members of Division 14 was sponsored by the Education and Training Committee as a preliminary attempt to develop the master's level psychology programs. Mailing tapes were obtained from APPA for all 671 persons appearing on the 1972 roster of Division 14 Associates. A three-page questionnaire was developed to cover the broad topics of education for psychologists. In addition, there were a few questions relating to the status of master's level psychologists in APPA.

One hundred and eight of the Associates (63%) responded to the questionnaire. There was no follow-up mailing and there can be no predictions as to the characteristics of the nonrespondents.

Results and Discussion
The first part of the questionnaire sought information about undergraduate majors, degrees received, thesis and practicum experiences, and graduate coursework. This section concluded with questions about the much-discussed (MacKinney, 1968; Moyer, 1972; Muchinsky, 1973) topics of the appropriate programs for training practitioners and the role of APPA in monitoring such programs. (The work experiences of respondents will be presented in a future issue of TAP.)

Most of the respondents (60%) had majored in psychology as undergraduates. The rest had majored in a wide variety of subjects, including sociology, business, philosophy, management, economics, education, and other arts and sciences. Two respondents only held bachelor's degrees while 22% had doctorates. The sizable number of PhDs was surprising because it contradicts our image of the Associate level in APPA as being exclusively sub-doctoral. However it was quite likely that the membership status would soon change for most of the PhDs.

Eighty respondents had submitted theses as part of their master's programs. Of those, 17.5% felt that the thesis was not at all helpful in preparing them for their professional careers. Another 47.5% felt it was somewhat helpful and 35% felt it was very helpful.

Only 28 (25.9%) of the respondents' master's programs had included a supervised internship or practicum, which is especially important in the professional model. Analysis indicated that there appeared to be no differences in internship or practicum experiences between MA and PhD candidates and no evidence of increased movement toward the master's level in recent years. We must wait to see if this kind of practical preparation will increase on the heels of the endorsement of the professional model and the master's degree by APPA's Conference on Patterns and Levels of Educational Training.

The questionnaire presented 14 subject areas based on Schneider's (1971) list and respondents were asked to indicate which had been included in their graduate programs and to rate the importance of each in a master's degree program in industrial-organizational psychology. The topics were selected to represent the entire range of subjects within industrial-organizational psychology, yet the list was kept small to keep the questionnaire short.

### Table 1
**Inclusion of Topics in Graduate Programs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Non-PhDs</th>
<th>PhDs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research Methods &amp; Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Variance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Group Processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training &amp; Devel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection &amp; Placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests &amp; Measurement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Appraisal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Programming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes  No NR</td>
<td>Yes  No NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
**Ratings of Importance in Master's Programs in Industrial Psychology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Non-PhDs</th>
<th>PhDs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research Methods &amp; Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Variance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Group Processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training &amp; Devel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection &amp; Placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests &amp; Measurement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Appraisal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Programming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI SI VI NR</td>
<td>NI SI VI NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note — NI (Not at all Important) = 0; SI (Somewhat Important) = 1; VI (Very Important) = 2.
Table 2 shows the ratings given by both groups of the importance of each topic for a master's program in industrial-organizational psychology. Both groups rated research methods and design, motivation, testing and measurements, and organizational change among the five highest in importance. Selection and placement also ranked among the top five for non-PhDs while performance appraisal was among the top five for PhDs. One of the most dramatic shifts between frequency and importance was observed for organizational change. Only 27.4% of the non-PhDs and 33.3% of the PhDs had had coursework in this area but both groups rated it highly (X = 1.68 and X = 1.85, respectively).

Overall, the subjects most frequently included in master's programs are quite traditional and the ratings of importance tended to follow suit. Grouping all respondents together, the rank-difference correlation between frequency and importance was .63. Respondents tended to rate the subjects they had studied higher than those they hadn't, with the exception of statistical analysis (downweighted) and organizational change (uprated). An individual developing a master's program in industrial-organizational psychology may wish to consider research methods, tests and measurements, motivation, and organizational structure and processes for the core curriculum, with selection and placement, performance appraisal, small group processes, and others being prominent electives.

Respondents were also asked their opinions about requiring Division 14 to formally evaluate (accredit) master's programs in industrial-organizational psychology and APA to certify master's level psychologists. Seventy-three percent agreed with the first proposal. 13% did not, and 14% had no opinion. Similarly, 70% said yes to the second proposal, 18% said no, and 12% had no opinion. There were significant differences between the non-PhDs and the PhDs on the matter of certifying master's level psychologists (X² = 14.87, df = 1, p < .001) but none on the issue of accrediting programs (X² = .19, df = 1, p > .05). Fully 81% of the non-PhDs approved of certification but only 37.5% of the PhDs did. Those respondents who would be most affected by accreditation, and especially certification, were the most enthusiastic in their support of the procedures. APA monitoring should enhance the value of the master's programs and practitioners alike, while increasing safeguards to the recipients of psychological services. Yet, despite these advantages and the apparent support of the practitioners, we still seem a long way from the institution of accreditation and certification processes at the master's level in industrial-organizational psychology.

If the master's degree is to be considered the "journeyman level of entry into professional practice" (APA Monitor, 1973 [p. 1]), as stated by the APA Conference on Patterns and Levels of Professional Training, greater care must be taken in the development of master's programs, especially in applied areas. A flexible approach to the development of a variety of skills according to the needs of the student is necessary to prepare the master's holder for his professional career. It is also important to provide greater reason for the master's level professional to join and actively participate in APA and its divisions. This should be done through encouragement in graduate school and modifying the structure of APA to improve the status of its Associate members.

The current survey is a single step in the direction of understanding how MA's feel about their educational experiences. This, and other investigations should be helpful in strengthening master's programs and in further developing the contribution psychology can make to the solution of human problems. It should also help to determine which of the multitude of suggestions for reform will be most useful, and under what conditions. More attention must be paid to the large number of MAs who were not included here because they are not members of APA or Division 14. Information on the work experiences of master's holders is also necessary to the success of Industrial - Organizational programs. This survey included such a preliminary investigation and, it will be summarized at another time.
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