Ethical Frameworks

Existing ethical frameworks, such as the APA, OECD, NIST, incorporate these elements:

Human Rights, Well-Being, Dignity:

Recognizing the inherent worth of every individual, guiding ethical practice through respect, fairness, and the promotion of well-being and avoidance of harm.

Privacy: Ensuring that individual data and personal information are safeguarded during processes such as AI training or using public, nonsecure AI tools.

Fairness: Avoiding bias and ensuring that Al systems provide fair and equitable treatment for individuals and groups.

Agency: Being mindful of consent, authorization, and ownership of data, and also the amount of power and control the Al systems have over users.

Human Oversight: Ensuring humans are appropriately monitoring AI systems at key points of development and implementation, and regularly thereafter being aware of what the AI is doing.

Transparency and Explainability:

Considering the openness of the system so people can understand how it is designed and developed, and how it operates to allow people to understand why the system reached a specific outcome.

Robustness and Accuracy: Ensuring that Al systems are reliable, function correctly under diverse conditions, and provide accurate outputs.

Security and Safety: Protecting Al systems and data from malicious attacks and ensuring the safety of individuals by mitigating potential risks.

Accountability: Establishing clear lines of responsibility and accountability for the actions and decisions made by Al systems.

Validity, Accuracy, and Responsible
Use: Ensuring that generative AI models
produce valid and accurate results and are
used responsibly within ethical and legal
boundaries.

AI Ethics Considerations Brief

This document outlines ethical considerations and references for further reading to inform the responsible and ethical use of AI in I-O research and practice. Importantly, this document references APA's Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct, which are currently under revision.

Operational Considerations for the Ethical Use of Al

Policies and mechanisms ensure Al use complies with organizational requirements, laws and regulations; provides value; and addresses the adopted ethical framework elements (e.g., see SIOP 2023; ATP 2024).

Governance: Ensuring guidelines and oversight processes are in place to manage the responsible, compliant, and accountable use of Al.

Regulatory compliance: Adhering to relevant laws and regulations to avoid legal consequences and ensure ethical operations.

Intellectual property rights: Protecting and understanding the limitations of the ownership of content created and used by Generative AI.

Supplier vetting and monitoring: Evaluating and monitoring third-party providers to identify their uses of Al and ensure they meet ethical and operational requirements.

Organizational change management: Preparing and adapting the organization and its employees for the integration of Generative AI technologies.

Potential impact on people: Considering the effects on job roles, privacy, and overall well-being.

ROI: Assessing the costs and potential risks of using and managing Al systems vs. benefits and economic viability of implementing Al solutions.

Practical Questions for AI Users

- What problems are you intending to solve with the use of Al? (e.g., Are you using Al to improve efficiency, ideate, predict or something else?)
- How are you taking into account the environmental and human issues of Al usage? (e.g., Are you measuring the carbon footprint of training large language models? Are you considering the impact of Al on job displacement?)
- How do you mitigate bias and validate when using a continuously changing AI model?
 (e.g., Are you regularly testing your AI model for racial or gender bias? Do you regularly audit outputs for fairness and accuracy?)
- How are your AI models monitored and maintained? (e.g., Do you have a system in place to retrain models with fresh data periodically? Are there alerts for performance degradation?)
- How do you ensure your AI models, prompts and related services are configured and
 used in alignment with laws around copyrights, privacy, discrimination, etc.? (e.g., Are
 you filtering training data to exclude copyrighted material? Are you complying with
 GDPR when processing user data in an AI-powered recommendation engine?)
- Are the user agreements around your Al models transparent and understood? (e.g., Do users clearly understand that their conversations with a virtual assistant may be used to improve the model? Is there a plain-language summary of the terms of service?)
- To what extent should you build, buy and/or customize your Al model? (e.g., Should you fine-tune an open-source language model for your legal document summarization tool, or license a commercial model like GPT-4?)
- How are Al outputs being monitored for accuracy and appropriateness? (e.g., Are human reviewers checking Al-generated medical advice before it's sent to patients? Is there a feedback loop for users to flag inappropriate content in a generative art app?)

Bibliography

Key references are listed below. These will continue to be expanded.

Ethical Frameworks and Codes

American Psychological Association (in press). Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct.

National Institute of Standards and Technology (July 2024). NIST Trustworthy and Responsible AI, NIST AI 600-1. Artificial Intelligence Risk Management: Framework: Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile. Author.

Organization for Economic Cooperation & Development (OECD), July 5, 2022. Using Artificial Intelligence in the Workplace: What are the main ethical risks? https://www.oecd.org/publications/using-artificial-intelligence-in-the-workplace-840a2d9f-en.htm

Guidelines and Principles

Association of Test Publishers (2024). Creating Responsible and Ethical AI Policies for Assessment Organizations: A Special Publication from ATP. Author.

SIOP (2023). Considerations and Recommendations for the Validation and Use of Al-Based Assessments for Employee Selection. https://www.siop.org/wp-content/uploads/legacy/SIOP-Al%20Guidelines-Final-010323.pdf

Regulations

EU Al Act (2024). https://artificialintelligenceact.eu/the-act/

General Data Protection Regulation (April 2016). https://gdpr-info.eu/

National Conference of State Legislatures (2025) Artificial Intelligence 2025 Legislation Summary. https://www.ncsl.org/technology-and-communication/artificial-intelligence-2025-legislation

Journal Articles

Landers, R. N., & Behrend, T. S. (2023). Auditing the Al auditors: A framework for evaluating fairness and bias in high stakes Al predictive models. American Psychologist, 78(1), 36.

Special Issue of the Consulting Psychology Journal on "Ethical and Legal Issues in Al for Organizational Consultants and Managers:"

Lowman, R. L. & Connell, J.B. (2025). Introduction to special issue, Ethical and legal issues in artificial intelligence for organizational consultants and managers Special Issue, Consulting Psychology Journal.

- Lowman, R. L (2025). Consultants' and managers' ethical and legal responsibilities in artificial intelligence applications. Consulting Psychology Journal. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000281
- Connell, J. B. (2025). Unethical and harmful effects of artificial intelligence on human interactions and well-being: What organizational consultants can do. *Consulting Psychology Journal*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000284
- Doverspike, D., Arthur, W., Jr., & Miguel, R. (2025). Legal, professional, and ethical issues in identifying bias in artificial intelligence-based personnel selection. *Consulting Psychology Journal*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000282
- Ringelband, O., & Warneke, C. (2025). Some ethical and legal issues in using artificial intelligence in personnel selection. *Consulting Psychology Journal*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000289
- Norton, L. W (2025). Artificial intelligence and organizational strategy: Ethical and governance implications. *Consulting Psychology Journal*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000280
- Prinz, K. D. (2025). Managing the legal risks of artificial intelligence on intellectual property and confidential information. *Consulting Psychology Journal*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000287