



SOCIETY for
INDUSTRIAL and
ORGANIZATIONAL
PSYCHOLOGY

SCIENCE FOR A SMARTER WORKPLACE

Reviewer Site Walkthrough

2027 SIOp Annual Conference

Summary of Steps to Review

1. Sign into the SIOP User Portal (<https://www.abstractsonline.com/dashboard/login.asp?aid=2559>).
2. Navigate to the list of proposals assigned to you for review.
3. Review the summary information for a proposal.
4. Read the proposal and prepare reviewer comments.
5. Provide your ratings and comments and “click to save data.”
6. Repeat for all remaining sessions to review.

1) Sign In Page

Note that link sends you to an abstractsonline.com url

Use the same username and password you used to sign up to be a reviewer or to submit a proposal for the 2027 SIOP Annual Conference. Note the username and password is not necessarily the same as your siop.org account.

The screenshot shows the 'Welcome to the SIOP User Portal' page. At the top, the browser address bar shows 'abstractsonline.com'. Below the header, there is a 'Login Information' section with instructions and a list of steps. To the right, there is a 'Recommended Browsers' section. At the bottom, there is a 'Sign in' form with fields for 'Username' and 'Password', a 'Sign in' button, and links for 'Create new account' and 'Forgot your password?'. Blue arrows point from the text boxes to specific elements: one to the browser address bar, one to the 'Forgot your password?' link, and one to the 'Sign in' button.

abstractsonline.com

Welcome to the SIOP User Portal

Please read the below information before logging in:

Login Information

Due to SIOP's new technology, proposal submissions and reviewer sign ups are not connected to your SIOP account this year. Please follow the appropriate step below to start your submission process.

Please follow the appropriate step below to access/create your account:

- If you have a SIOP account, utilize the **Forgot your password?** link.
- If you do not have a SIOP account, please go to siop.org and create one first, then come back here and choose **Create New Account** link
- If you are a returning user, please enter your username and password below
- If you have a SIOP account and the **Forgot your password?** option does not work for you, please use **Create New Account**.

Thank you for your patience and understanding during our technology transition.

If you have question or issues with logging in, please email siop@support.ctimeetingtech.com or call 1-217-398-1792.

Recommended Browsers

The following browsers and their latest releases are recommended for use:

- Google Chrome
- Microsoft Edge
- Safari
- Mozilla Firefox

Sign in

Username

Password

[Sign in](#)

[Create new account](#)

[Forgot your password?](#)

Select "forgot your password?" if you cannot remember your login information.

2) SIOP User Portal Landing Page

After signing in, if needed, click on the Menu icon (a matrix of 9 squares) and select “2027 Annual Conference”



The screenshot shows the user portal for the 2027 SIOP Annual Conference. The top navigation bar is dark grey and contains the following elements from left to right: a menu icon (a 3x3 grid of squares), a user icon, the text "2027 SIOP Annual Conference", and the user name "Kristina Bauer" with a dropdown arrow. Below the navigation bar is a secondary bar with links: "Meeting Information", "Reviewer Submission" (with a red badge showing "11"), "Session Review", and "Session Submission". On the far right of this bar are "Tasks" and "Messages" icons. The main content area has a light grey background and features the following text:

Welcome to the 2027 SIOP Annual Conference Session Review user portal.

Reviews are due **Thursday, November 19, 2026 by 5 pm ET.**

To begin reviewing, please scroll down and click on a session from your assignment list. For assistance navigating the site, please reference the [reviewer center walkthrough](#).

Your ratings are directly used to determine which sessions are accepted, so it's critical that you complete the reviews assigned to you. Your written feedback will be shared directly with submitters to help them grow and improve. We are thus counting on you to help shape the content of both this and future SIOP conferences, to ensure that high quality and relevant submissions are accepted, and to provide SIOP members with useful, constructive feedback. **It is your effort that drives the reliability, validity, and utility of SIOP reviews. THANK YOU!**

APA has released additional guidance on the use of generative AI, stating that "reviewers may not enter materials from submitted manuscripts into generative AI as it would constitute a violation of the confidentiality of the peer review process." Therefore, we ask that you not use generative AI to perform your reviews. Additionally, we note that authors of submissions have in many cases put considerable effort into preparing their submissions and do expect that peer reviewers will put some time and effort into the review process.

2) SIOP User Portal Landing Page

Click on the “Session Review” button under the 2027 Annual Conference heading. (The number in the red circle indicates the number of proposals assigned to you for review.)

There is a list of the proposals assigned to you, organized by session type.

Click on an unreviewed session (labeled “Not yet scored”)

The screenshot shows the SIOP User Portal Landing Page. At the top, there is a navigation bar with links: Meeting Information, Reviewer Submission (with a red circle containing the number 11), Session Review (highlighted with a blue arrow), and Session Submission. On the right side of the navigation bar are links for Tasks, Message, and a profile icon. The main heading reads "Welcome to the 2027 SIOP Annual Conference Session Review user portal." Below this, a red text line states "Reviews are due Thursday, November 19, 2026 by 5 pm ET." A paragraph of instructions follows: "To begin reviewing, please scroll down and click on a session from your assignment list. For assistance navigating the site, please reference the [reviewer center walkthrough](#)." Another paragraph explains the importance of ratings: "Your ratings are directly used to determine which sessions are accepted, so it's critical that you complete the reviews assigned to you. Your written feedback will be shared directly with submitters to help them grow and improve. We are thus counting on you to help shape the content of both this and future SIOP conferences, to ensure that high quality and relevant submissions are accepted, and to provide SIOP members with useful, constructive feedback. **It is your effort that drives the reliability, validity, and utility of SIOP reviews. THANK YOU!**" A third paragraph mentions APA's guidance on generative AI: "APA has released additional guidance on the use of generative AI, stating that 'reviewers may not enter materials from submitted manuscripts into generative AI as it would constitute a violation of the confidentiality of the peer review process.' Therefore, we ask that you not use generative AI to perform your reviews. Additionally, we note that authors of submissions have in many cases put considerable effort into preparing their submissions and do expect that peer reviewers will put some time and effort into the review process." A fourth paragraph provides time estimates: "Our data show that reviewers report spending 35 minutes on posters and 25 minutes on other submission types, on average. Since reviewers are typically assigned no more than 5 reviews, we ask that you plan for approximately two hours to complete your reviews. These are averages; some people will need less time, and some will need more." A final line of text says: "For more information or questions, please use the [SIOP reviewer guidelines and FAQs](#)." Below this, there is a section titled "Alternative Session" with a button labeled "Test Alternative Session" and a status "Not yet scored" (highlighted with a blue arrow). At the bottom right, there is a "Privacy" link.

3) Session Summary Page – Non-Poster Version

This page provides summary information on the proposal, including title, abstract, and content area. You should review this information.

If you are ready to provide your ratings, you can click this button to jump to the bottom of the page.

A link to view and download the session proposal document should appear here

The screenshot shows the 'Session Summary' page for a session titled 'Tabbitha's Test Debate'. The page layout includes a left sidebar with 'Instructions', 'Feedback', and 'Logout' links. The main content area has a 'Session summary' header with a 'PRINT' button and a 'JUMP TO EVALUATE' button. Below this is a 'View all session roles' section containing session information and a table of roles. The 'Session Details' section provides further information about the session, including a link to 'Download Proposal'. The 'Debaters' section at the bottom lists participants with their roles and author blocks.

Session Summary

Instructions
Feedback
Logout

Session summary

PRINT JUMP TO EVALUATE

Tabbitha's Test Debate
Debate

View all session roles

Session Information
Session Title: Tabbitha's Test Debate
Session Type: Debate
You do not have permission to Arrange controls.

Current Order	Control#	Status	Activity	Title	Author Block	Topic
1	129	Primary	Submitter	Submitter	T. S. Torres; CTI, Chicago, IL	
2	130	Primary	Moderators	Moderators	T. S. Torres; CTI, Chicago, IL	
3	131	Primary	Debaters	Debaters	T. S. Torres; CTI, Chicago, IL	
4	132	Primary	Non-Speaking Contributor(s)	Non-Speaking Contributor(s)	T. S. Torres; CTI, Chicago, IL	

Session Details

Session ID: 47
Session Title: Tabbitha's Test Debate
Short Title: Test
Primary Content Area: Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility
Secondary Content Area: Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility
Abstract: Test
Session Proposal: [Download Proposal](#)
Audience: Mostly Relevant for Practitioners
Approximate Time Requested: 50 Minute Session

Debaters

You do not have permissions to modify Session data.
To ensure that your work is saved as you go, please click on the button, "Click to Save Data" when you are done.
Primary Roles (Minimum Needed: 1. Maximum Allowed: 2).

Control #	Activity	Author Block
131	Debaters	T. S. Torres; CTI, Chicago, IL

3) Session Summary Page – Non-Poster Version

For non-posters, the authors and their roles are listed here. Note that the available roles vary by session type.



Chair

You do not have permissions to modify Session data.
To ensure that your work is saved as you go, please click on the button, "Click to Save Data" when you are done.

Primary Roles (Minimum Needed: 1. Maximum Allowed: 2).

Control #	Activity	Author Block
138	Chair	<u>T. S. Torres;</u> <u>CTI, Chicago, IL.</u>

Non-Speaking Contributor(s)

You do not have permissions to modify Session data.
To ensure that your work is saved as you go, please click on the button, "Click to Save Data" when you are done.

Primary Roles (Minimum Needed: 0. Maximum Allowed: 50).

Control #	Activity	Author Block
140	Non-Speaking Contributor(s)	<u>T. S. Torres;</u> <u>CTI, Chicago, IL.</u>

Panelists

You do not have permissions to modify Session data.
To ensure that your work is saved as you go, please click on the button, "Click to Save Data" when you are done.

Primary Roles (Minimum Needed: 1. Maximum Allowed: 50).

Control #	Activity	Author Block
139	Panelists	<u>T. S. Torres;</u> <u>CTI, Chicago, IL.</u>

Submitter


You do not have permissions to modify Session data.
To ensure that your work is saved as you go, please click on the button, "Click to Save Data" when you are done.

Primary Roles (Minimum Needed: 1. Maximum Allowed: 1).

Control #	Activity	Author Block
137	Submitter	<u>T. S. Torres;</u> <u>CTI, Chicago, IL.</u>

3) Session Summary Page –Poster Version

The proposal page for posters is similar to the non-poster version. The main difference is that the authors are not listed in order to maintain a blind review.



The screenshot displays the 'Session Summary' page for a poster. The interface includes a sidebar with 'Session Summary' (selected), 'Instructions', 'Feedback', and 'Logout'. The main content area has a 'Session summary' header with 'PRINT' and 'JUMP TO EVALUATE' buttons. Below this is a breadcrumb trail 'TESTING - Example' and 'Poster'. A 'View all session roles' dropdown is present. The 'Session Details' section contains the following information:

Session Details	
Session ID:	1665
Session Title:	TESTING - Example
Short Title:	EXAMPLE
Primary Content Area:	Testing/Assessment (e.g., selection methods, validation, predictors)
Secondary Content Area:	Innovation/Creativity
Abstract:	Testing 1, 2, 3. Examples for instruction walk through.
Session Proposal:	Download Proposal
Audience:	Mostly Relevant for Practitioners
Amount of Time Requested:	50 Minute Session

4) Read Proposal and Prepare Reviewer Comments

Click on the “Download Proposal” link

Session Details	
Session ID:	1665
Session Title:	TESTING - Example
Short Title:	EXAMPLE
Primary Content Area:	Testing/Assessment (e.g., selection methods, validation, predictors)
Secondary Content Area:	Innovation/Creativity
Abstract:	Testing 1, 2, 3. Examples for instruction walk through.
Session Proposal:	Download Proposal
Audience:	Mostly Relevant for Practitioners
Amount of Time Requested:	50 Minute Session

You can also print out the document.

After clicking on the link, a new tab with the proposal document will open.



The screenshot shows a web browser with a new tab open. The address bar displays the URL: `files.abstracksonline.com/CTRL/47/8/E2F/7BA/1B4/42E/A83/CD1/489/770/A18/49/a15755_1.pdf`. The browser window shows a Microsoft Word document titled "Microsoft Word - Example - Poster.docx". The document content is visible, showing a title "I-O Psychology's Decline in Effect-Size Magnitude Over Time" and several paragraphs of text. The document viewer interface includes a sidebar with thumbnails, a main viewing area, and a top toolbar with navigation and printing icons.

You can download the document for offline viewing.

4) Read Proposal and Prepare Reviewer Comments

Next, read the text of the proposal.

Then prepare your anonymous reviewer comments. These comments will be provided verbatim to the submitter. You can prepare these in Microsoft Word or another editor.



5) Provide Ratings and Comments

Go to the “Evaluate” section at bottom of the Session Summary page for the proposal you are reviewing. You can use the “Jump to Evaluate” button.

JUMP TO EVALUATE

Click on the “Score Choices” button to provide your ratings (see next screen).

Copy and paste your reviewer comments here.

Click and drag this corner to make the box bigger (if needed).

Evaluate

Please enter your score below by clicking on the “Score Choices” button.

Status Tracking
To return to your list of sessions and see the overview of your already entered scores please select “Session Summary” on the left side of the screen.

Individual criteria scores: 1-5 each on suitability of this session for inclusion where 25 is the highest total score.

Comments section:
Please provide brief, constructive comments that will be shared verbatim with the session submitter. Especially important if overall recommendation is to not accept.
If you believe this proposal violates submission guidelines, please contact program@siop.org with your concerns to receive guidance on how to proceed with your review.
Please include the session ID # and title of the session you are reviewing.

To return to your list of sessions, please select “Session Summary” or “Back to Dashboard” on the left side of the screen. Here you can see your completion status and scores assigned.

Score Value:

Comments:

SCORE CHOICES

CLICK TO SAVE DATA

5) Provide Ratings and Comments

Use the dropdown box to provide a rating for each of the five scales.

Double check that you have provided a rating for each scale. Then click the “Total Score” button.

cOASIS Sessions - Session Building Module - Google Chrome

abstractsonline.com/cSessions/MultiCriteriaScore.asp?STKey=%7BE641F4AF-EF51-473B-A5E0-8120B3B35593%7...

To proceed with scoring this proposal, you must select a score for each of the five criteria.

1. The proposed session has a coherent goal and theme.
Click the dropdown on the right to select a score.
Very much (5)
A good deal (4)
Somewhat (3)
Slightly (2)
Not at all (1)
2. The proposed session has the potential to advance I-O psychology.
Click the dropdown on the right to select a score.
Very much (5)
A good deal (4)
Somewhat (3)
Slightly (2)
Not at all (1)
3. The proposed session is rigorous in its approach (e.g., well-designed structure, appropriate speakers, strong methods for sessions presenting research).
Click the dropdown on the right to select a score.
Very much (5)
A good deal (4)
Somewhat (3)
Slightly (2)
Not at all (1)
4. This session is likely to be of interest to SIOP attendees.
Click the dropdown on the right to select a score.
Very much (5)
A good deal (4)
Somewhat (3)
Slightly (2)
Not at all (1)
5. Your overall recommendation to the committee.
Click the dropdown on the right to select a score.
Definitely accept (5)
Probably accept (4)
Accept only if space (3)
Probably not accept (2)
Definitely not accept (1)

Please Select

Please Select

Please Select

Please Select

Please Select

TOTAL SCORE

Powered by cOASIS, The Online Abstract Submission and Invitation System SM
© 1996 - 2024 CTI Meeting Technology All rights reserved. [Privacy Policy](#)

Privacy

Please Select

Please Select

5

4

3

2

1

5) Provide Ratings and Comments

After clicking “Total Score” on the previous page, you will be brought back to the session information page.

The sum of your numerical ratings for the proposal will appear here.

Your comments to the submitter should appear here.

After confirming that everything is accurate, press the “Click to Save Data” button. This will save your review. You do not need to click “submit” anywhere in the portal. Your review will automatically be provided to the SIOP Program Chair when the reviewing deadline passes.

Evaluate

Please enter your score below by clicking on the “Score Choices” button.

Status Tracking
To return to your list of sessions and see the overview of your already entered scores please select “Session Summary” on the left side of the screen.

Individual criteria scores: 1-5 each on suitability of this session for inclusion where 25 is the highest total score.

Comments section:
Please provide brief, constructive comments that will be shared verbatim with the session submitter. Especially important if overall recommendation is to not accept.
If you believe this proposal violates submission guidelines, please contact program@siop.org with your concerns to receive guidance on how to proceed with your review.
Please include the session ID # and title of the session you are reviewing.

To return to your list of sessions, please select “Session Summary” or “Back to Dashboard” on the left side of the screen. Here you can see your completion status and scores assigned.

Score Value:

25.0

SCORE CHOICES

Comments:

This is an interesting symposium. The methods for the second study seem a little unclear. It seems that the measurement was taken once a year for five years, but then the study mentions time 1 and time 2. It is unclear what these times represent. In the third study, I'm a little confused how the analyses were done. The study seems to require statistics that allow for nested models, but little information was given on how these nested models were analyzed. The authors also need to be cautious relating this study to work organizations. Though my knowledge of college freshmen is based on U.S. schools and not German Universities, core self-evaluation in U.S. schools, for most students, would also include aspects of the college experience (living in dorms, being away from home, etc.) that isn't present in many work organizations. In the fourth study, the discussion on high approach motivation and low avoidance motivation sounds a lot like promotion and prevention regulatory focus, the authors may want look at this research. It may also be important to give demographic information for study 4, especially which such a small participant number. Similar to study 3, a little more explanation of the methods and results may be helpful.

CLICK TO SAVE DATA

6) Complete Your Remaining Reviews.

Go to your next remaining proposal to review by clicking on “Session Summary” to view a list of your assigned reviews...

...or you can either use the left and right arrows to cycle through your assigned proposals....

...or you can return to the SIOP User Portal Landing Page (by logging back in or using the back button).

The screenshot displays the 'Session summary' page for the 2027 SIOP Annual Conference. The page includes a sidebar with 'Instructions' and 'Feedback' links, a main content area with a 'PRINT' button and a 'JUMP TO EVALUATE' button, and a list of reviews. The reviews are categorized into 'Poster' and 'Symposium' sections. The 'Poster' section lists 'Test: For Final Config Doc' (Score: 10) and 'TESTING - Example' (Score: Not yet scored). The 'Symposium' section lists 'Test: For Final Config Doc' (Score: 17) and 'TESTING 2 - Example' (Score: Not yet scored). Navigation arrows are present on the left and right sides of the review list. A large blue arrow points from the 'Session Summary' link in the sidebar to the 'TESTING 2 - Example' review. Another large blue arrow points from the left and right navigation arrows to the 'TESTING 2 - Example' review. A third large blue arrow points from the 'Session Summary' link in the sidebar to the 'TESTING 2 - Example' review. The SIOP Annual Conference logo and dates (National Harbor, MD • April 14-17, 2027) are displayed below the review list. The bottom navigation bar shows 'Meeting Information', 'Reviewer Submission' (with a red notification badge '11'), 'Session Review', and 'Session Submission'. The user's name 'Kristina Bauer' and 'Tasks' and 'Messages' links are also visible.

Session Summary

Instructions

Feedback

Session summary

PRINT

JUMP TO EVALUATE

TESTING 2 - Example

Symposium

Poster

Test: For Final Config Doc

Your Score: 10

TESTING - Example

Your Score: Not yet scored

Symposium

Test: For Final Config Doc

Your Score: 17

TESTING 2 - Example

Your Score: Not yet scored

SIOP ANNUAL CONFERENCE

National Harbor, MD • April 14-17, 2027

2027 SIOP Annual Conference

Kristina Bauer

Meeting Information

Reviewer Submission 11

Session Review

Session Submission

Tasks

Messages

Thank you very much for participating in the review process.

Your saved reviews will be provided automatically to the 2027 SIOP Program Chair.