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Implementation of a well-designed performance management (PM) system can strengthen an organization in numerous ways. Through the alignment of individual and organizational goals, PM systems advance strategic organizational objectives. High-quality PM systems also improve work contributions of employees by offering increased opportunity and guidance for growth and development.

In addition to fostering the acquisition of new skills (e.g., leadership competence) in order to improve job performance (Aguinis & Kraiger, 2009), PM systems improve human capital in a number of key ways. For example, PM systems can increase employee self-efficacy and empowerment and foster positive attitudes toward the organization by making employees feel valued and supported (Aguinis & Kraiger, 2009). This can in turn lead to improved performance and employee willingness to go “above and beyond” their stated job duties. Organizations with systematic PM programs report superior financial results, customer satisfaction, and employee retention to (Nankervis & Compton, 2006). Not surprisingly, organizations utilizing PM systems to invest in the professional development of employees (rather than simply to inform human capital decisions) often have competitive advantages in terms of attracting and retaining top industry talent.

When PM is done well, it leads to many desirable results. However, poorly designed PM systems can easily cause more harm than good. Given the stakes of PM administration, it is imperative that care is taken in the development and deployment of an organization’s PM system. A thoughtfully designed and implemented system allows for competitive advantages, from the maintenance of a committed, strategically aligned, and well-developed talent pipeline to the ability to make legally defensible and data-driven human capital decisions. Where does your performance management system rate? We’ll help you answer this question, and regardless of the answer, we will present some best practices that can help you improve.

Background

PM is a continuous process including identification, measurement, and development of performance in order to meet strategic goals of the organization. Perspectives on PM have changed drastically in the last decade. Organizations have moved from mid-year and end of year reviews to seeing PM as an ongoing process, only one component of which is the implementation of discrete, formal performance feedback sessions (London & Smither, 2002). Expanding beyond the traditional annual performance review, ongoing growth (or maintenance of good performance) has become a primary concern and places individual performance more broadly in the context of organizational performance (Bernardin, Hagan, Kane, & Villanova, 1998). Like other areas
within the talent management space (e.g., coaching) the stigma that was once associated with devoting extra time and attention to growing and developing employees is rapidly diminishing. In fact, employees are seeking out professional development activities, and when they feel they are missing in an organization, many decide to leave (Morea, 2011).

Effectiveness of a PM program is dependent on the strength of design and implementation methods. A system lacking in appropriateness (of design, implementation, documentation, or user accountability) will not only fail to improve employee performance but may actually harm the organization. Poor system implementation can result in legal ramifications, undesirable employee perceptions and behaviors (e.g., burnout, job dissatisfaction, turnover), and lost opportunities for enhancing productivity (Aguinis, 2009).

Of further note, employees today are increasingly likely to follow self-guided career paths not organizationally bound career paths. As allegiance to the organization becomes less of a deciding factor in whether an employee stays or leaves to work for a competitor, companies must increase efforts to retain talent. Effective PM increases employee perceptions of opportunities and support for advancement within the company (Ready, Hill, & Conger, 2008). Thus, in addition to providing a competitive advantage in market performance, effectively designed and implemented PM systems can offer organizations competitive advantages in terms of attracting and retaining top industry talent.

Although details of the ideal PM system will vary from organization to organization, a number of best practices for PM have been identified. Some of these are described below.

**Implications for Practice**

For many organizations, the necessary steps to PM will be familiar (Aguinis, 2009; see Figure 1). The first step in the process is collaborative performance planning. The employee and his/her manager must discuss and agree on what measurable results or outcomes will be examined at the conclusion of a PM cycle (Step 1). Next, a development plan that outlines mutual expectations for performance in the coming year should be created (Step 2). Once a plan is in place, the employee is expected to actively work toward goals over the agreed-upon period of time, and the manager should regularly observe performance and progress (Step 4). Along with regular observation, managers should provide feedback, reinforcement, and resources to support growth (Step 5). When the rating period concludes, both the employee and supervisor should independently assess the extent to which goals were met and/or behavior changed. This should include objective measurement where possible (Step 5). The final step in the cycle is the performance review discussion, in which employee and supervisor meet to review progress. The discussion should include honest and straightforward feedback and should address the past (what has been done and how), the present (outcomes of the past, such as performance-based bonuses), and the future (setting goals for the next PM cycle; Aguinis, 2009). This PM cycle should be continuous, so the next steps are to repeat the process.
If your organization does not follow the process outlined above, the first step is to consider your current PM activities and see where you may need to adjust them to facilitate goal setting, development planning, and performance feedback conversations. If your organization is ready to take these practices to the next level, we provide recommendations in four critical areas.

First, be sure to establish strategic alignment. PM should always begin with the alignment of the objectives of individual jobs with the organization’s overall strategic goals and direction. This strategic alignment reinforces the ability of employees to engage in behaviors that promote attainment of the organization’s strategic goals.

Second, ensure collection of complete and accurate performance ratings. Comprehensive, valid, and reliable evaluation of an employee’s level of performance is a vital component of effective PM. Performance assessment serves as the foundation
for determining whether employees successfully attain goals and for identifying development areas. The validity and quality of performance data drives the quality of decisions made from the PM process (e.g., compensation and promotions). A few key suggestions with regard to effective performance ratings are (a) ensure that the definitions of effective and ineffective performance are clear and aligned to organizational performance goals; (b) carefully define and measure performance with regard to relevant tasks and competencies; and (c) ensure managers have the necessary resources (e.g., rater training, time to complete evaluations) to conduct performance appraisals well and in a reasonably timely fashion.

Third, optimize feedback delivery. It is critical that performance feedback is delivered appropriately. Although formal feedback is very useful, feedback should not be limited to official occasions. A good PM program requires availability of high-quality, informal feedback on a regular basis. To optimize feedback, providers should do the following: (a) emphasize its developmental usefulness; (b) focus on tasks as opposed to people, as this makes the feedback easier to understand and accept; (c) be trained to handle emotional responses from employees in order to facilitate deeper processing of the information; and (d) encourage two-way communication, because allowing the employee to express his/her thoughts and opinions strongly relates to intentions to use the feedback (Cawley, Keeping, & Levy, 1998).

Finally, leverage data wisely. PM systems can also serve important organizational maintenance functions. Regularly examining trends in organization-wide performance appraisals can help an organization determine bench strength or areas that should be targeted for employee development. Beyond managing employee performance and development, PM systems also provide records that serve as valuable sources of performance documentation if litigation arises.

Next Steps

The content of this paper has clearly illustrated the benefits of a carefully designed and implemented PM system. From here, what is the best course of action for a HR professional interested in improving his or her company’s PM system? To begin with, existing PM management systems should be checked against the industry standards we have discussed. Comparison to these standards should begin with ensuring that the PM process begins with goal setting and strategic alignment, and continue by ensuring

**Taking Performance Management Practices to the Next Level**

1. Establish strategic alignment
2. Ensure collection of complete and accurate performance ratings
3. Optimize feedback delivery
4. Leverage data wisely
the process advances through the stages described in this paper. Care should be exercised to ensure that those rating performance are receiving adequate resources/training opportunities to allow for accurate and appropriate evaluation of performance. The data gathered as part of an annual PM process have a myriad of potential uses, from refining performance standards to engaging in succession planning. This data may be leveraged to demonstrate year-to-year benefits of a formal PM system, or to obtain organizational buy-in for development initiatives.

The data gathered as part of an annual PM process have a myriad of potential uses, from refining performance standards to engaging in succession planning.

Although performance management is a hot topic among human resource professionals, many systems are not grounded in scientific theory or guided by scientifically-supported processes. By following the research-based recommendations we have outlined, organizations may work to develop or improve existing PM systems that support important organizational initiatives and enhance progress toward organizational goals. Through the ability to improve an organization’s talent pipeline, effective PM systems have proven their potential to enhance the growth of organizations and provide competitive edges that might otherwise be overlooked.
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